Missing Pages
December 15, 1999

Pages 1, 2, & 3

Note:

The reason why these same pages are missing from the UndisclosedWiki is that they get their documents from us, not from Undisclosed, or anyone on Adnan's defense team.

Q. Okay. So, it is --
THE COURT: Mr. Wilds, if you will pull the microphone and point it at your mouth and talk into it?
THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. So the only thing you testified about was things that are alleged to have occurred on the 13th?
A. The only events I testified about.
Q. The only events that you testified yesterday; is that correct?
A. Right. I received a phone call.
Q. Now, yesterday, sir, was not the first time that you were asked to speak about the events on the 13th, was it?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. The very first time you were asked to speak about the events was on the early morning hours of February 28th?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Is that correct? In fact, beginning before 1:30 a.m. in the morning; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And at that time, at 1:30 a.m. in the morning, of February 28th, you were down the street at Police Headquarters building; were you not?

A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. You weren't there because you had just happened to walk in there to visit, were you?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. You were brought there, were you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And that was the very first time you were asked about these events; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And on that day, you were in a little room in the Homicide Unit, and you were speaking to Homicide detectives; is that correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Okay. And between the 13th and the 28th, sir, you were aware, were you not, that the body of Hae Min Lee had been discovered buried in Leakin Park; isn't that correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. You saw that on the news, didn't you?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. You heard that on the radio?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. Okay. But you saw it on the news?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And you knew that she had been discovered; is

that correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Now, back at the time, sir, between the 13th and the 28th of February, you worked at the porn store, did you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Okay. You rented pornographic material; is that correct?
A. I myself?
Q. Yes?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. Did you work there as a clerk?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Okay. And you rented videos, did you not?
A. To customers.
Q. To customers?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Is that correct? And what you rented to those customers was pornographic material, was it not?
MR. URICK: Objection.
THE COURT: Basis?
MR. URICK: Relevance.
THE COURT: Overruled.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. You can answer?

A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. That's a yes. So what you rented to customers between the 13th and 28th of February was pornographic material; is that correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And you worked there before the 13th, did you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Excuse me. You worked full-time, did you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Yes. And you worked full-time meant you worked 40 hours a week; correct?
A. 35 to 40, yes.
Q. 35 to 40. And you worked generally at least five days a week, did you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. You don't work there anymore; do you?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. You had also worked at other places before you worked at the porn store?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Isn't that correct? You didn't leave working at the porn store, renting pornographic material, voluntarily, did you?
A. Yes, ma'am.

this page provided by Rabia Chaudry in exchange for donations to the Adnan Syed Legal Trust

Q. Okay. You left on your own volition?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Okay. You were, however, chastised for working at the porn store because you didn't always show up?
A. Pardon me?
Q. You were chastised. Do you understand that word, Mr. --
A. I see what you're saying.
Q. You were cautioned, you were counseled, because you didn't always show up at work --
A. From whom?
Q. -- when you were supposed to?
A. By whom?
Q. By anyone, sir?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. A woman by the same of Sis, or who refers herself as "Sis"?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Is essentially the Manager of that store; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Okay. And you worked there selling and renting pornographic material for how long?
A. I'd say about three months.
Q. You graduated from Woodlawn High School in May

of 1998, did you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. You were not a member, or you were not assigned to gifted and talented classes, were you?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. You were not a member of the Magnet Program for those students, were you?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. No. And you were not a very good student, were you?
A. I myself would say no.
Q. You would say no?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Correct? And not to your surprise, everybody else would say no, too, wouldn't they?
MR. URICK: Objection.
THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. Now, Mr. Wilds, after you graduated in May of 1998, did you take any more classes at the school?
A. At Woodlawn High?
Q. Yes, sir?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. So after May, after you graduated in May, you had no reason to be at Woodlawn on a regular basis, did

you?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Did you work there?
A. No, I did not.
Q. Okay. You didn't take any classes?
A. No, ma'am, I did not.
Q. So were no longer a student; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. So you were not going to Woodlawn to be a student or to participate --
A. No, ma'am, I was not.
Q. -- in any class work, were you?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. And you weren't an athlete, Mr. Wilds; were you?
A. Pardon me?
Q. You were not an athlete?
A. Yes. I did play high school lacrosse.
Q. That was before you graduated --
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. -- in May of 1998; is that correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. After you graduated, you were no longer an athlete, were you?
A. No, ma'am.

Q. And you had no reason to be there to participate in athletic events --
A. Yes, I did, ma'am.
Q. -- as an athlete --
A. Oh, I'm sorry.
Q. -- did you?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. No, sir. Now, before you graduated, you know Adnan Syed, did you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. He was a fellow student at Woodlawn; was he not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And Woodlawn is a very large high school in Baltimore County; is it not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And you live in the catchment area of Woodlawn, do you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Meaning that you were required by the Board of Ed to attend that school, because it was located in closeness to where you lived; is that correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Now, Adnan Syed was not in your year, was he?
A. Pardon me.

this page provided by Rabia Chaudry in exchange for donations to the Adnan Syed Legal Trust

Q. He was not in your year, was he?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. He was behind you; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And he was a gifted and talented student, was he not?
A. To the best of my knowledge.
Q. You knew that, didn't you?
A. Yes.
MR. URICK: Objection.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. And you knew it back then --
THE COURT: Basis?
MR. URICK: Asked and answered.
THE COURT: Overruled.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. You knew that he was a gifted and talented student back then, did you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And you knew that he was an athlete, did you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Okay. He played football for the Woodlawn team, did he not?
A. I do not recall.

Q. You don't know that, because you really didn't know him well before you graduated, did you?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. You knew a woman, a young woman, a girl by the name of Stephanie [redacted] did you not?
A. [redacted] yes.
Q. [redacted] You knew her back there before you graduated; did you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Okay. And Stephanie [redacted] she was a G.T. student, was she not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Okay. And you knew that then; is that correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. She got good grades, did he not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And you knew that; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Okay. And you knew many other students in your grade; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And in each grade, there are about 1700-plus students at Woodlawn; correct?
A. I'll take your word for it.
Q. There were a lot of students at Woodlawn;

correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And there are four years at Woodlawn; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And each class is roughly -- not exactly, but roughly the same size; is it not?
A. No. The freshman class is always much larger.
Q. Okay. And you were once a freshman; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. A year before Stephanie?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And a year before Adnan --
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Is that correct? You knew other students who were not just in your year; did you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Okay. And among those other students was a woman by the name of Aisha [redacted]
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Okay. And you knew her because she was also in the same year behind you; is that correct?
A. The same year behind me?
Q. Well we've discussed Stephanie.
A. Okay.
Q. The woman that you said you knew was a year

behind you --
A. The class of '99.
Q. -- was she not?
A. Yeah. She was in the class of '99.
Q. As Adnan Syed was a year behind you?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Was he not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. There's another student by the name of Aisha Pittman; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And you knew her, did you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. She was also gifted and talented student, was she not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. She was also a good student, was she not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. She, and Stephanie, and Adnan, to your knowledge, were gifted and talented students who got good grades; did they not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Unlike your grades; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And all of those students, Aisha, Stephanie,

this page provided by Rabia Chaudry in exchange for donations to the Adnan Syed Legal Trust

and Hae, you knew from your own knowledge, they hung out together; did they not?
A. I wasn't aware of how they hung out, but, yes, I knew they knew each other.
Q. You knew that they knew each other; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And you knew that there was a very tight-knit group of the gifted and talented students at Woodlawn; did you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. In addition to the three that I've mentioned, Adnan, Stephanie, and Aisha, there were another bunch of kids that also fit the same category; did they not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Kids at Woodlawn a year behind you, all of whom were G.T. students; correct?
A. Magnet students, yes.
Q. Okay. Magnet?
A. Yes.
Q. And magnet means gifted and talented; does it not?
A. Not necessarily.
Q. You are aware that gifted and talented students take a more demanding curriculum; are you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.

Q. Okay. And that they are smarter kids?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. No. You're not aware that gifted and talented students to be so designated have to perform better than other students --
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. -- is that correct? But you're not aware that they are designated as smarter kids than standard kids?
A. Right.
Q. You are not aware of that?
A. I do not see it that way.
Q. You're ignorant of that fact?
MR. URICK: Objection.
THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. Now, Mr. Wilds, there were other students who also fit that category. Among them was a person by the name of Hae Lee; was there not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. You knew Hae Lee; did you not?
A. Yes, ma'am, I did.
Q. And you knew her because she was a student the year behind you; is that correct?
A. I knew her because I had a biology class with her.

Q. A biology class?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Okay. And you would not have considered Hae Lee your friend, would you have?
A. No. More like an acquaintance.
Q. An acquaintance. But that's the same word you used yesterday to describe Adnan?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Is it not? Not somebody you really knew well?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. Not somebody you really socialized with?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. Not somebody you really shared the same things in high school?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. That was both by reason -- the reason you didn't share the same things, was both by reason of their age, because they were behind you; correct?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. And it was also because they were all gifted and talented students; correct?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. Okay. You don't know that?
A. Pardon me?
Q. You don't know that?

A. You're asking my reasons for them just being acquaintances?
Q. No, sir. I asked you, you don't know that they were all gifted and talented students?
A. Oh, yes, I do.
Q. So they all were; is that correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And all of those students that I've mentioned were a year behind you; --
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. -- is that correct? Now, you've never been to Hae Lee's house, have you?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. You've never gone to a party at her house, have you?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. You've never called her, have you?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. Okay. And so she fit the category as an acquaintance; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. She was just somebody you knew; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And other that sharing the biology class that you did, you really didn't pursue a relationship with

this page provided by Rabia Chaudry in exchange for donations to the Adnan Syed Legal Trust

Page 20

her, did you?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. Stephanie, on the other hand, was more than an acquaintance, was she not?
A. Very much so.
Q. Stephanie was a special friend, was she not?
A. Very much so.
Q. She was your girlfriend, was she not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And, sir, Mr. Wilds, do you have a religion in which you have been brought up?
A. My birth certificate says Baptist, but I do not practice.
Q. You've never practiced, have you?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. You're not a church-going young man, are you?
MR. URICK: Objection.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. You're not a young man given to pray on a regular basis?
MR. URICK: Objection.
THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. Mr. Wilds, you're aware that there's a significant contingent of Muslim students at Woodlawn;

Page 21

are you not?
A. Very much so.
Q. You know that from your own personal observation; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. There were Muslims in your class?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And you are aware that Muslims practice the religion of Islam; are you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. You're away that they pray regularly?
A. Some do, yes.
Q. And you're aware that there are strict guidelines as to when they pray throughout the day?
A. The Muslim religion, you're asking?
Q. Yes.
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Okay. You know that from your own observation; do you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And you're aware, because you were a student at Woodlawn, that Woodlawn, among other schools, Woodlawn, as a matter of policy, makes guidelines for behavior and accommodation in school life for those who are practicing Muslims?

Page 22

A. As far as?
Q. As far as anything?
A. Not further than any other religion.
Q. You are aware, sir, that Muslims have to pray five times a day; are you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And you are aware because you observed it at Woodlawn, that the month, a specific month during the year, generally between December and January, is a month of special prayer and other things; are you not?
A. Yes, ma'am, but --
Q. And --
A. I'm sorry.
Q. You are aware that among the other things that are special during that special month of prior, is a requirement that Muslims fast from sunup to sundown?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And you observed that; did you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Now, among the friends that I have mentioned, Hae Lee was not a Muslim; was she?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. And Stephanie was not a Muslim; was she?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. And Aisha was not a Muslim; was she?

Page 23

A. No, ma'am.
Q. But Adnan Syed was a Muslim?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Was he not? Now, this acquaintance of yours, Stephanie, at a point in time became much more than that, as we were discussing; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Stephanie became your special girlfriend; did she not?
A. Since seventh grade, ma'am.
Q. Okay. And she was always a year behind you, was she not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. But Stephanie was always assigned to gifted and talented curriculum, was she not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. She's a smart girl; is she not?
A. I would say so.
Q. You could tell early on throughout your relationship with her that she was going to college; could you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And you could tell from your life early on that you were not?
MR. URICK: Objection.

this page provided by Rabia Chaudry in exchange for donations to the Adnan Syed Legal Trust

THE COURT: Overruled.
THE WITNESS: No, ma'am, I could not.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. You could tell at some point; could you not?
A. Pardon me?
Q. You could tell at some point; could you not?
A. Yes.
Q. And you didn't go to college; did you?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. Your grades wouldn't have permitted you to be accepted; would they have?
A. Community college did accept me.
Q. The community college?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. But you didn't go?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. Stephanie, on the other hand, is in college; is she not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Out of town?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Okay. And that's no surprise to anybody; is it?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. Now, your non-practicing status of being a

Baptist didn't prevent you from dating girls; did it?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. And your status as a non-practicing Baptist didn't prevent you from having sex with girls; did it?
MR. URICK: Objection.
THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. Mr. Wilds, Stephanie became your girlfriend when?
A. Seventh grade.
Q. And remained your girlfriend all the way through high school?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Is that correct?
A. Brief period, we were separated my freshman year, but other than that, yes.
Q. Okay. And remains your girlfriend today?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Okay. Even though she's away at college?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And you're here?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Okay. Even though she was a gifted and talented student and you worked in a porn store?
MR. URICK: Objection.

BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. By your own definition; is that correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. By reason of your relationship with Stephanie, you began to come in contact on a more regular basis with students at Woodlawn after you graduated; is that correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Okay. Now, Mr. Wilds, yesterday, Mr. Urick asked you were you presently a drug dealer; do you remember that?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And you understood by those terms what they meant; did you not?
A. Yes.
Q. And you answered him by saying, "Not presently. Presently not."
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Do you recall that? By that, you meant that right now, currently, yesterday, today, you are not currently dealing drugs; correct?
A. By that, I mean as of three months, yes.
Q. As of the last three months?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. From today, stepping back three months of time;

correct?
A. That was the last time.
Q. That would mean, Mr. Wilds, that back in January of 1999, you were a drug dealer; were you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And you dealt drugs?
A. Marijuana, yes.
Q. Okay. Marijuana is a drug; is it not?
A. You said drugs, plural. I only dealt one.
Q. Okay. Marijuana is a drug; is it not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. It is an illegal substance; is it not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And it is sold illegally to people who wish to use that substance; is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And that's no different than any other illegal drug; is it?
A. No, it isn't.
Q. Okay. And in January of 1999, you were a drug dealer; were you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And in February of 1999, you were a drug dealer; were you not?
A. I would not consider myself.

this page provided by Rabia Chaudry in exchange for donations to the Adnan Syed Legal Trust

Q. Pardon?
A. I would not consider myself, but --
Q. But you were dealing drugs; were you not?
A. I was procuring for friends. I had stopped.
Q. So, sir, it is your testimony that you stopped dealing drugs, you just told us, from today going back three months; is that correct?
A. Completely, yes.
Q. Okay.
A. Completely, yes.
Q. But up until then, in three months back, today is December the 15th?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Is that correct? That would take us back to November the 15th?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Correct? October the 15th?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And September the 15th?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Is that correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And so when you told us, that meant that you stopped dealing drugs --
A. Right.

Q. -- as of September 15th?
A. Right.
Q. Is that correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Now, September the 15th was an important month for you; was it not?
A. I do not recall, no, it wasn't.
Q. You don't recall anything significant that occurred in September?
A. No, ma'am.
MS. GUTIERREZ: Madam, can I have -- I don't know what number it is, the plea agreement?
THE CLERK: I'm sorry.
MS. GUTIERREZ: The plea agreement that was identified yesterday.
THE CLERK: The plea agreement?
MR. URICK: State 35.
MS. GUTIERREZ: 35.
May I approach the witness, Your Honor?
THE COURT: Yes.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. Mr. Wilds, you identified this document yesterday; did you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. You made a point of reading it; did you not?

A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And your signature appears on it; does it not?
A. Yes, ma'am, (inaudible).
Q. And a date appears next to your signature; does it not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. That date is September the 7th; is it not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. In addition to your signature, there appears the signature of your lawyer; does it not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Your lawyer's name is Anna Benaroya; is it not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And Ms. Benaroya was present yesterday and is present today; is she not?
A. Correct.
Q. She's the woman sitting in the white or beige suit who's waving her hand; is she not?
A. Correct.
Q. And she signed this document that you identified yesterday with you on September 7th?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Is that correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And September 7th was the day in which,

according to your testimony, that you were still dealing drugs; is that correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Now, dealing drugs, sir, you said, you used the term "procuring" drugs for your friends?
A. Yes, ma'am. And -- I'm sorry.
Q. Is that what you used, sir?
A. Yes. May I explain myself?
Q. You used that term; did you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. My question is, did you use that term; is that correct? And you used that term to mean that you procured, that is, you got drugs, a drug, for your friends; is that correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. In addition to getting drugs for --
THE COURT: Can you hold on for a moment, please?
(Pause.)
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. In addition to getting drugs for your friends, you also got drugs for acquaintances; did you not?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. You got drugs for Adnan; did you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.

this page provided by Rabia Chaudry in exchange for donations to the Adnan Syed Legal Trust

Q. And you described him as an acquaintance?
A. Are you asking as of --
Q. Did you not?
A. -- the date in question, or are you asking altogether?
Q. Well, sir, you said you dealt drugs on September 7th; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Because that's outside your three-month time line that you told us about; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And you were dealing drugs back in January of 1999; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And you dealt drugs before January of 1999 --
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. -- did you not? You dealt drugs in 1998; did you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Even while you were a student, albeit not a good one, at Woodlawn High School?
MR. URICK: Objection.
THE COURT: Sustained. You might want to rephrase.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:

Q. You dealt drugs in '98; did you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Before you graduated from (inaudible); is that correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Now, procurement means the obtaining of drugs; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. You didn't procure drugs altruistically; did you?
A. Altruistically? Can you define that for me?
Q. Or, well, when you procured drugs, you had to buy them from a source; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And you, during the time that you dealt drugs - - well, let me ask you this. You dealt drugs before 1998; did you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. You dealt them in 1997; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. While you were a high school student?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. At Woodlawn?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Correct? And you dealt them in 1996?

A. No, ma'am.
Q. Okay. So you started sometime in 1997?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. So by January of 1999, you were already an experienced drug dealer; were you not?
A. I wouldn't say so.
Q. You had had two years of experience; had you not?
A. Yes.
Q. '97; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And 1998?
A. On and off.
Q. Is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And as you've told us, you dealt drugs for at least nine months out of this year; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. 1999? You just stopped on September 15th; is that correct?
A. It's been a slow process.
Q. Okay. So, sir, understanding you were already experienced in procuring drugs and drug dealings in January of 1999; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.

Q. And people knew about you; did they not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Students who wanted drugs would come to you?
A. Some of them.
Q. Some of them. And you would procure the drugs for them?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Okay. And by procuring meant that you would have to go obtain it?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. From somewhere else; is that correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. From some other drug dealer; is that correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And when a student would come to you and wanted drugs, you would charge them money; would you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Money more than what it cost you to for the drugs?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Is that correct? You made money off of your drug dealing; did you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Every single procurement?
A. No, ma'am.

this page provided by Rabia Chaudry in exchange for donations to the Adnan Syed Legal Trust

Q. There were some procurements you made nothing?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. So that's what I meant when I used the term altruistic, Mr. Wilds. It means that you did something not for motive, --
A. Yes.
Q. -- but for some other good purpose.
A. Okay.
Q. Is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. So there were times when you altruistically procured drugs?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Not to gain any money for yourself; is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. And that was what percentage of your drug dealings, sir?
A. The latter part. Like I said, as I've been moving out.
Q. So as you've been moving out from drug dealing; is that correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. In January and February, 1999, you were not moving out from drug dealing; were you?

A. Pardon me?
Q. In January and February, 1999, you were not moving out of drug dealing; were you?
A. Yes, ma'am, I was.
Q. You were? And yet, you told us yesterday, on Mr. Urick's questioning, that one of the reasons there was such a difference between your first statement to the police, your second statement to the police, and yesterday's testimony was because you were scared about information that Adnan and others knew about you and criminal activity; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Including your drug dealing; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. So in January and February, sir, how much of your drug dealing was altruistically motivated, meaning you didn't make money off of it?
A. I'd say at least 70 percent.
Q. 70 percent of your activities as a drug dealer were procurement of drugs where you made no money?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Okay. So you really weren't doing anything wrong; were you?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. You were?

A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Because you knew it to be against the law; is that correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And, sir, prior to working at the video store, you worked elsewhere; did you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. You worked at the Pet Smart?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. The Pet Smart is located, at least the one that you worked, off of Route 40?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Above the Beltway?
A. West of the Beltway, yes.
Q. West of the Beltway? Outside the loop of the Beltway; is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And it's actually above Rolling Road; is it not?
A. West of Rolling Road, yes.
Q. Okay. And the F and M is located on the left; is it not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. In a sort of shopping center of sorts?
A. Yes, ma'am.

Q. In that same shopping center is located a Super Baby Store?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Is it not? I don't remember the name, but they sell baby and children's things; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And near that same shopping center, perhaps within it, is located the Pet Smart where you worked; is it not?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. And you worked at that Pet Smart for how long?
A. Excuse me. From the 12th of '97, until March of '98.
Q. The 12th? The 12th month?
A. The 12th -- January the -- 1-12-97 to --
Q. Lots of things seems to occur on your birthday, Mr. Wilds; do they not?
A. I don't think so.
Q. You start new jobs; correct?
A. Yes. It was my 17th birthday.
Q. Okay. And, now, you didn't work there very long; did you?
A. A little over a year.
Q. A little over a year. You were ultimately --

this page provided by Rabia Chaudry in exchange for donations to the Adnan Syed Legal Trust

well, you left; did you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And, sir, you are aware that on your discharge summary, it was noted "do not rehire"; right?
A. No.
Q. You're not surprised?
A. I didn't read it, but --
Q. But that's not a surprise to you; is it?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. You had a hard time getting to work when you were supposed to?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. You are aware, are you not, your employment records from Pet Smart --
THE COURT: Please keep your voice up, Ms. Gutierrez. We're losing you.
MS. GUTIERREZ: Yes, Your Honor.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. You are aware, Mr. Wilds, that your employment records from Pet Smart indicate that you had a hard time getting to work when you were supposed to?
A. I may have been late, but I wouldn't say a hard time.
Q. Now, sir, there were often times you --
(Pause.)

BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. There were often times when you were constantly written up because you just didn't show up?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And that you would not be surprised to know that Pet Smart wouldn't rehire you?
A. No, I'm not.
Q. Okay. Now, sir, you know where the location is, where Pet Smart is; right?
A. Correct.
Q. Because for over a year, you reported there on a regular or irregular basis; did you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And you know its proximity to F and M?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Correct? In the same shopping center?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Correct? It's on the side of, it's closer to Route 40 than F and M and the baby store are; isn't it?
A. They're about equal distance.
Q. Okay. Now, the Pet Smart is on the side; is it not?
A. Yes.
Q. It's not connected to the baby store; is it?
A. Office Depot.

Q. Physically?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. Now, sir, you are aware that there were dumpsters right near the Pet Smart?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. They're plainly visible; are they not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Now, in the front and in the back?
A. No, ma'am. They're not visible in the front.
Q. Your duties didn't include your putting items into the dumpsters; did it?
A. Yes, it did.
Q. Okay. So you were intimately aware of where those dumpsters were located; is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Now, F and M, is that located on the other side of the baby store?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. It's on the same side as Pet smart; is it not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. In fact, it's closer to Pet Smart; is it not?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And you are aware that there were dumpsters that belonged to F and M; is that correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.

Q. Now, sir, back in January of 1999, when you had two years of experience of dealing drugs, and you were, as you tell us, doing 70 percent of your drug dealing for no money, were you a person who also used drugs?
A. Yes. I smoked marijuana.
Q. Okay. Now, sir, you described for us the events of January 13th yesterday; did you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And among those events, you spoke of drugs; did you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Well, you told us yesterday it was in the afternoon of the 13th, while waiting for a call, you went to Crysta Vinson's house; is that correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Now, Crysta Vinson was a friend of yours, was she not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Not just a mere acquaintance?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. Correct? And when you went -- and that was in the afternoon; correct?
A. In the evening, yes.
Q. In the evening?
A. Yes.

this page provided by Rabia Chaudry in exchange for donations to the Adnan Syed Legal Trust

Q. Now, by evening, what would be the cut-off between the afternoon and the evening?
A. I take it as afternoon ending about 3:00.
Q. Okay. So 3:00, anything below, meaning later than 3:00, you would call the evening; is that correct?
A. Early evening.
Q. So you expected when you went to Crysta's house after 3:00; is that correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And you were expecting a phone call; were you not?
A. Pardon me? When are you -- I'm sorry. At Chrystie's?
Q. Yes?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And the phone call that you expected, what you told us yesterday, was from your acquaintance Adnan; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. But while you were at Crysta Vinson's house, your friend?
A. Yes.
Q. You imbibed in drugs; did you not?
A. Yes.
Q. That was a place were you often did that; did

you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And generally, when you went to Crysta's house, who brought the dope?
A. Marijuana.
Q. Who brought it?
A. I did.
Q. You did? Generally, you would bring the dope to her house; is that correct?
A. Generally, I would bring the marijuana, yes.
Q. And when you would show up to her house with marijuana, she would smoke it with you?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Would she not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. You smoked several times a day; did you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Almost every day; did you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Okay. And you, sir, procured your own dope for yourself; did you not?
A. Marijuana, yes.
Q. Okay. And, but you procured it; did you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. One of the terms that was used, Mr. Wilds,

yesterday, is that you described searching for a strip; do you recall that?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And that was a term that you used earlier; was it not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. You used it when you spoke to the police for the first time on February 28th; is that correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. The time that you spoke to the police, you defined, because they asked you what did that mean; did you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And you told them that a strip was a term that meant where you (inaudible); is that correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Strip as you used it doesn't refer to a place like a strip mall; right?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. Or a strip of land; right?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. Or a strip of a curb; right?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. Or a strip of anything else; correct?
A. In context, no, ma'am.

Q. You use that word to describe a place where drugs are bought; is that correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And you, sir, back in January, specifically, January 13, 1999, knew where the bulk of strips were; did you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. That's part of your trade; was it not?
A. I wouldn't call it a trade, but part of my knowledge, yes.
Q. Mr. Urick asked you were you still drug trafficking. Is that a better term for you?
A. Okay.
Q. Is it?
A. It's fine with me.
Q. So trafficking is fine to describe what you did?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. Now, sir, would you agree that trafficking in drugs is a business?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. Sir, when you dealt drugs, or trafficked in drugs, or dealt in the drug trade in 1997, --
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. -- were you engaged in the procurement of drugs

this page provided by Rabia Chaudry in exchange for donations to the Adnan Syed Legal Trust

Page 48

whereby 70 percent of your trade didn't involve your getting a piece?
A. In '99.
Q. 1997?
A. '97 you're asking?
Q. Yes?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. In 1997, a hundred percent of your trade involved your getting a piece; isn't that correct?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. Most of your trade?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Okay. And by "most," that would be fair to say, it was more than 50 percent; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And it was more than 60 percent; was it not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. It was more than 70 percent; is that correct?
A. A little more, yes.
Q. But somehow, between 1997 and 1999, you flip-flopped that?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Correct? Now, sir, you went to Crysta Vinson's house that day sometime after three o'clock; is that correct?

Page 49

A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. But before it was dark; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am. Just as it was getting dark.
Q. Just as it was getting dark?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And it was getting dark back then in January of 1999, shortly before five o'clock; was it not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Much like now?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Very much like this time of year?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Was it not?
A. Yes.
Q. The days were short?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Isn't that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And so just before it was getting dark, you went to Crysta Vinson's house, and you smoked marijuana; did you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And Crysta Vinson, did she also smoke with you?

Page 50

A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. She usually did; did she not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. It was one of the reasons you had a relationship with Crysta Vinson; was it not?
A. In the beginning, yes.
Q. Because you met Crysta Vinson through your friend Jan, who's a --
A. Jen.
Q. Jen?
A. Yes.
Q. J-E-N; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Her real name is Jennifer?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Okay. And Jennifer Pusateri was your friend, was she not?
A. Yes, I'm sorry.
Q. She went to Woodlawn at the same time that you did?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. You two were very, very close; were you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And Jen introduced you to Crysta; did she not?
A. Yes.

Page 51

Q. Thereafter, meaning after that event, you then felt free enough to go visit Crysta alone?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. You didn't need Jen to be along, did you?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. But sometimes you would still visit Crysta with Jen; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. But every time you went to Crysta's generally, you smoked marijuana?
A. I wouldn't say every.
Q. Every, but most?
A. Most, yeah.
Q. And every time, the most times that you smoked marijuana, you would do so together with Crysta?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And if Jen went along, together with Jen also?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And if Jeff, Crysta's boyfriend happened to be there, with him also?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. It's something you all did?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. But you were always the one to bring the drugs?
A. Not always, but most of the time.

this page provided by Rabia Chaudry in exchange for donations to the Adnan Syed Legal Trust

Q. Sometimes Crysta had her own?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Did she not? And sometimes Jeff had his own; right?
A. Yes.
Q. And sometimes Jen had her own; right?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. But most of the times you went there, you and generally somebody else were smoking dope?
A. Marijuana, yes.
Q. Marijuana. Do you recall, was it marijuana every time?
A. I recall that we would --
Q. Weed?
A. Dope is something different to me.
Q. So you trafficked in weed; is that correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Now, --
A. No, I'm sorry.
Q. Now, the strips -- well, sir, I thought we agreed. You answered Mr. Urick's question yesterday.
A. You asked me if I trafficked.
MR. URICK: Objection.
THE COURT: Overruled. I haven't heard the question yet.

BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. Mr. Wilds, you recall that yesterday Mr. Urick asked you if you were still a drug dealer?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you recall that?
A. Yes.
Q. And trafficked, sir, is a term you understand?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you not?
A. But you asked me earlier.
Q. It is the trafficking of drugs; is it not? And drugs -- is that a yes, sir?
A. Trafficking of drugs is drug trafficking, yes.
Q. Okay. And the trafficking means the passing on of any illegal substance that qualifies as a drug; does it not?
A. Yes.
Q. And that includes marijuana; is that not right? Marijuana is an illegal substance; is it not?
MR. URICK: Objection.
THE COURT: Sustained as to the form of the question. That's a minute we'll never have back. Can't you two agree on words?
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. You understood my use of the term

"trafficking"; didn't you, Mr. Wilds?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Okay. That is the procurement and the sale of any illegal substance; is that correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. That which you did at least back to 1997; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. 1997, sir, you were how old?
A. 17.
Q. 17. And, sir, you were a student full-time in a public high school; is that correct?
MR. URICK: Objection.
THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. The bulk of your trafficking in the illegal drug weed occurred at your high school; did it not?
A. No, ma'am, it did not.
Q. It occurred off your high school?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Now, sir, you procured drugs for your friends, as you've described it; right?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. But early on, you would make them pay; would you not?

A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. You weren't somebody who brought the drugs just as a favor to your friends?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. No. You made your friends who wanted to smoke weed pay for it?
A. My friends?
Q. Yes.
A. No, not my friends. My acquaintances.
Q. Well, you didn't just bring drugs and procure drugs for your friends for no money, did you?
A. My friends, yes.
Q. Okay. So, sir, it is your testimony that from the beginning, notwithstanding that you were a drug trafficker, that you got drugs, procured drugs for your friends for no money?
MR. URICK: Objection.
THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. Mr. Wilds, on January the 13th, we were talking about the first time that you went to your friend's, Crysta Vinson's house; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Sometime after three o'clock; is that correct?
A. Correct.

this page provided by Rabia Chaudry in exchange for donations to the Adnan Syed Legal Trust

Q. And you told us yesterday that you were waiting for a call from your acquaintance Adnan; is that correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. You had his car; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And you had his cell phone; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. You knew that his cell phone was a new thing; did you not?
A. No, ma'am, I did not.
Q. You didn't have the cell phone; did you?
A. No, ma'am; I did not.
Q. And that day, sir, was not the only day you had Adnan's car; was it?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Generally -- and you didn't have his car after that day; did you?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. So the only day you ever had his car was on the 13th of January, 1999?
A. Once after.
Q. One time after?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Well, you were aware that shortly after your middle-of-the-night conversation that was taped with

Detective MacGillivary and Detective Ritz in the bowels of Police Department building, that Adnan Syed was arrested?
A. Pardon me?
Q. You were aware, sir, that shortly after your conversation, beginning at 1:30 a.m. on the 28th of February, that Adnan Syed was arrested?
A. No, ma'am, I'm not.
Q. You are not aware of that?
A. No, ma'am, I'm not.
Q. Now, sir, at 1:30 in the morning, you were picked up and taken to headquarters; were you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And you gave them a statement; did you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And you told us yesterday, on Mr. Urick's prodding, that in that statement, you lied; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Okay. And you did lie, didn't you?
A. The first statement?
Q. Yes, sir?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. You didn't have to hesitate to think about that, did you?
MR. URICK: Objection.

THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. Sir, prior to testifying today, Mr. Urick prepared you; did he not?
A. I met with Mr. Urick briefly on two occasions.
Q. On two occasions prior to taking that witness stand; is that correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And you met with Detective MacGillivary and Ritz on two occasions; did you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And you are aware, sir, that the second occasion you met with Detective MacGillivary and Ritz, one of the reasons it was occasioned was because they knew that you lied about certain things in your first statement that was made in the middle of the night, in the early morning hours of February 28th?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Yes. You knew that. You didn't have to think about that, did you?
MR. URICK: Objection.
THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. You knew -- you knew before my question, before Mr. Urick's question yesterday, that you had lied to the

police?
MR. URICK: Objection.
THE COURT: Overruled.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. Did you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. You, in fact, when you were caught lying, admitted lying; did you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And one of the reasons that you told us was that because of things about your background?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Okay. And the things about which you were concerned was your drug trafficking; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Now, you said that Adnan knew about that?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Lots of people knew about that; did they not?
A. I wouldn't say lots, but, yes.
Q. Well, sir, there was the period of time at least when you began, before you became altruistic, that you trafficked in the drug of weed for money?
MR. URICK: Objection.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. Did you not?

this page provided by Rabia Chaudry in exchange for donations to the Adnan Syed Legal Trust

THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. Sir, there was a period of time in which you had the expectation of an economic reward for the business you were in?
MR. URICK: Objection.
THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. And sir, you dealt drugs to people more than your friends; did you not?
MR. URICK: Objection.
THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. You smoked drugs with people more than just your friends?
MR. URICK: Objection.
THE COURT: Overruled.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. Did you not?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. Sir, you described Adnan Syed, when asked to describe him by Mr. Urick, --
THE COURT: Please keep your voice up, Ms. Gutierrez.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:

Q. -- as an acquaintance; do you recall?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And an acquaintance, sir, in your definition, is somebody different than a friend?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Somebody you know less well?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Correct? Somebody with whom you have less contact?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Is that correct? On a social level; is that correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And prior to the 13th of January, you've told us you had never borrowed or had your acquaintance's car; is that correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. You knew of him, and he held acquaintanceship status, because of his relationship with his fellow G.T. student, Stephanie?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. You knew of that relationship; did you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Okay. And you knew of the closeness of your girlfriend, Stephanie, your then-girlfriend, Stephanie,

with your acquaintance, Adnan Syed; is that correct?
A. They are very good friends.
Q. In January? And you knew -- in January of 1999, sir, you didn't own a car; did you?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. You worked at the porn store; did you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And that's not located within walking distance of where you then lived?
A. I walked several times, but no, ma'am.
Q. It's not really in walking distance; is it?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. And you didn't want to have to walk to work at the porn store, did you?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. And other than the several times you've described to us, on your normal way to get to work meant that you would dependent on someone else?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And generally, your girlfriend, who was a year behind you, she went to class every day; did she not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And class at Woodlawn High School started at 7:45 in the morning; did it not?
A. Yes, ma'am.

Q. And it ended, depending upon whether you were a G.T. student or a standard student, at either 2:15 or three o'clock; isn't that correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And generally, during that winter, sir, you were able to have your girlfriend's car; were you not?
A. Sometimes, yes.
Q. Now, sir, do you recall my asking a question about your religion?
A. Pardon me?
Q. Do you recall my asking you questions about your religion?
A. Yes.
MR. URICK: Objection.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. Though you don't practice it sir, you are familiar with the tenets of the Baptist religion; are you not?
MR. URICK: Objection.
THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. Sir, does your religion, whether ever there is a time you practiced it, prohibit you from dating more than one girl at a time?
MR. URICK: Objection.

this page provided by Rabia Chaudry in exchange for donations to the Adnan Syed Legal Trust

THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. Sir, while Stephanie was your girlfriend back in January of 1999, you had her car often; did you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Sometimes you'd have her car every day; would you not?
A. Often and every day are not the same. Often, yes. Often.
Q. Often. Sometimes five days a week?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. Sometimes four days a week?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Okay. And sometime that would be four days a week --
THE COURT: Hold on for a second.
(Pause.)
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. Sometimes that would be four days a week, week after week; is that correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Generally, your girlfriend Stephanie would lend you her car; is that correct?
A. On several occasions, yes.
Q. Okay. Well, it was more than several

occasions; was it not?
MR. URICK: Objection.
THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. Stephanie, in January of 1999, was 17 or 18, sir?
A. Pardon me?
Q. Stephanie, your girlfriend in 1999, January, was 17 or 18?
A. She was 18.
Q. She turned 18 on January 13th; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And this was a girl currently your girlfriend, a young woman you had known since you were in seventh grade, she was in sixth grade; right?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. When she was in seventh grade and you were in eighth grade; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. But she'd been your girlfriend before January of 1999; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. She lent you her car sometimes as often as four days a week; is that correct?
MR. URICK: Objection.

THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. And she actually lent you her car more than on just several occasions; didn't she?
MR. URICK: Objection.
THE COURT: Overruled.
THE WITNESS: No, ma'am.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. Okay. So your testimony is that she only lent you her car on several occasions?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. So at least one week, where she lent it to you four days a week; right?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And then a couple of other times?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And who else's car would you borrow?
A. Laura [redacted] Jen Pusateri's, Chris [redacted]
Q. Your friend, Jen Pusateri's; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Your very special friend; correct? And Laura [redacted] was she a student?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. A student with you?

A. No, ma'am.
Q. Okay. And did your girlfriend, in January, know about Laura?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Okay. And you borrowed Laura's car how often?
A. I think all together, it's probably about eight times.
Q. Eight times? And would eight times determine the same word to describe it? Is that several times?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. Okay. Is that more than several times?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. Or less than?
A. Less than several.
Q. So several, to you, as you use it, means more than eight?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Does it mean more than ten?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Okay. So you borrowed your friend Laura's car less than you borrowed your friend Stephanie's car?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Is that correct? And from whom else did you borrow a car?
A. Chris [redacted]

this page provided by Rabia Chaudry in exchange for donations to the Adnan Syed Legal Trust

Q. Chris [redacted] Now, Chris [redacted], that's a male; is it not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And that was also a student at Woodlawn?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And that's a name that you mentioned to Detective MacGillivary and Detective Ritz; is it not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. The names that you mentioned to Detective MacGillivary and Ritz included Chris Baskerville; correct? He was a friend; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. He was someone with whom you had smoked the illegal substance of weed?
A. On occasion.
Q. On one occasion?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. That's less than several; right?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Just a single occasion?
MR. URICK: Objection.
THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. That you smoked --
THE COURT: Sustained.

BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. -- with Chris [redacted] But he was a name that you gave to the detectives in the early morning hours of February 28th; is that correct?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Okay. Is that a yes?
A. I'm sorry. Yes.
Q. Okay. Now, Mr. Wilds, the other name that you gave to the detectives was your good friend Jen; is that correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Now, you told us yesterday that you went to that house where Jen lives to see your friend Mark; is that correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And that you spent a period of time there playing video games; is that correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Mark is your good friend Jen's baby brother; is he not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. He was 15 years old on January the 13th; was he not?
A. I do not know. Okay, yes.
Q. That's sounds right; doesn't it?

A. Yes.
Q. You don't have to think about that?
MR. URICK: Objection.
THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. You knew, sir, because you had just turned 19, that this was a boy four years younger than you; did you not?
A. I wasn't aware of his age, but yes, he was younger than me.
Q. You knew he went to high school; did you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. You knew he was barely a sophomore then; did you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. So you knew that he was three-plus years younger than you were; is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. But, you told us yesterday that your purpose was that you went there to see him, your friend; is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. So you described 15-year old sophomore student Mark Pusateri as your friend?
A. Yes, ma'am.

Q. Is that correct? And you met that friend through your other good friend Jen?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And your purpose in going there that day was not to see Jen, it was to see your friend Mark?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And did you smoke weed with him?
A. No, ma'am, I did not.
Q. You were already high?
A. No, ma'am, I was not.
Q. Now, sir, that day, the 13th, was your girlfriend's birthday; is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. You knew that it was her birthday before the 13th; did you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. For as long as you've known Stephanie, you've known that your birthday is on the 12th and her birthday is on the 13th; is that correct?
MR. URICK: Objection.
THE COURT: If she wants to use her hour this way, and it has been an hour, I will let her do it. Overruled.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. Is that correct, sir?

this page provided by Rabia Chaudry in exchange for donations to the Adnan Syed Legal Trust

A. Could you ask me the question again.
Q. You didn't understand it?
A. I want to make sure I'm clear about it.
Q. Sir, your birthday is on the 12th; is it not?
A. Yes.
Q. Her birthday is on the 13th; is it not?
A. Yes.
Q. That wasn't news to you on the 13th, was it?
MR. URICK: Objection.
THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. You knew ahead of time that you had to prepare for her birthday; did you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Your sole contact with Stephanie that day on the 13th was late that evening; was it not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. You had your good friend Jennifer Pusateri ride you over to Stephanie's house late at night; did you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And your good friend Jennifer Pusateri waited in the car?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. While you delivered your present to Stephanie?
A. Yes, ma'am.

Q. After all the events of the day; is that correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Now, Jennifer stayed in the car on purpose; did she not?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. You didn't normally socialize with Stephanie and Jen together, did you?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. You would socialize with Stephanie separate and apart from your socializing with Jen; is that correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And, of course, there would never be joint socializing with Mark and Stephanie; right?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Even though he was your friend; is that correct?
A. I'm sorry. Yes, there was.
Q. Mark was your friend?
A. Mark was my friend, and it would --
Q. Mark wasn't an acquaintance?
A. There would be -- there would be joint socializing.
Q. Okay. Mark wasn't an acquaintance?
A. No.

Q. Was he? And he wasn't an independent friend of your girlfriend's, was he?
A. Yes.
Q. Yes? Independent of you?
A. Of me? Yes.
Q. Okay. And -- but Stephanie and your friend Jen didn't independently socialize?
A. Yes, they did.
Q. Sir, on the 13th of January, the birthday of your girlfriend, though, you didn't see her until late in the evening, you, of course, spoke to her; did you not?
A. No, I don't remember speaking to her earlier.
Q. And you didn't speak to her -- she didn't have a cell phone, did she?
A. Not at this time, I don't believe.
Q. Not back then; is that correct?
A. Right.
Q. And to your knowledge, sir, when Adnan Syed gave you his car, you knew that his cell phone was a new thing; did you not?
A. No, ma'am, I did not.
Q. Okay. So you didn't know, because he was just an acquaintance, whether or not he had a cell phone before that?
A. Right.

Q. Is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. And, sir, you've told us that your first contact with your acquaintance Adnan Syed was sometime in the morning hours of the 13th; is that correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. He called you?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Correct? To hook up on that particular day?
A. The morning, yes.
Q. Is that correct? You didn't call him?
A. No, ma'am, I did not.
Q. And you had no idea whether or not he had his cell phone; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am, I did.
Q. Well, did you know that before the 13th when you hooked up?
A. No, ma'am, I did not.
Q. Did you have his number?
A. No, ma'am, I did not.
Q. Now, sir, you saw Adnan on a fairly regular basis at Woodlawn; did you not?
A. At Woodlawn, yes.
Q. Because on at least the several, more than eight occasions in which you borrowed the car, you'd have

this page provided by Rabia Chaudry in exchange for donations to the Adnan Syed Legal Trust

Page 76

to go pick up your girlfriend; would you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Unless someone else would agree to take her home; right?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Because you would have her car; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And because you had attended there, you were familiar with the entranceways to Woodlawn?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Were you not? You knew where the gym was; did you not? You knew that to be a separate entrance from the front entrance of the school; did you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. You knew that there was a circular driveway that went around the whole thing; did you not?
A. Around the front?
Q. Around the front?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And you knew that there was a way to drive further and get toward the gym entrance, and beyond that, the fields?
A. Yes.
Q. None of that was a surprise to you?
A. No, ma'am.

Page 77

Q. And your friend Stephanie, your girlfriend, played basketball; did she not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Okay. And that wasn't the only sport she engaged it, was it?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. She was an athlete, was she not?
A. Correct.
Q. In addition to being a scholar; is that right?
A. Correct.
Q. And on the days that you would pick her up or drop her off, you would engage in conversations with other students; would you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Some of which you knew by sight; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Many of which you knew, because you had procured the drug of marijuana for them?
MR. URICK: Objection.
THE COURT: Overruled.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. Isn't that correct?
A. More or less than not.
Q. More or less? You mean less?
A. I knew -- I knew more of the people -- they

Page 78

were friends from school, than dealings.
Q. So out of the 1700, more than 50 percent were people that you just knew by sight, because you attended the same school; right?
A. Correct.
Q. Less than that number were people you had dealt drugs?
A. Correct.
Q. You had dealt drugs to a lot of people; had you not?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. No. How many?
A. I'd say there's probably a dozen.
Q. Only a dozen?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And the people that you dealt drugs with who attended Woodlawn, did they fit the category or friends that you didn't make any money off of?
A. Pardon me?
Q. Did those people at school, less than a dozen, did they fit the category of friends, people that you did not make money off of in your drug dealing?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. No. Those are people, actually, that you did make money off of every time you dealt them drugs?

Page 79

A. Not every, but most.
Q. Mr. Wilds, you've described that day for us, is that the first time you smoked weed was at Crysta's house; is that correct?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. Okay. Did you smoke weed before you arrived at Crysta's?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. In the early morning?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. Okay. In the afternoon?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And while you were by yourself?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. With your acquaintance Adnan; is that right?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Now, in addition to the friends, 12 or so you said, that you dealt drugs and you made money off of your dealing from drugs, there were acquaintances other than Adnan; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And that number of acquaintances that you also dealt drugs to were how many?
A. I would say maybe 15.
Q. Maybe 15. So, and the acquaintances, sir, when

this page provided by Rabia Chaudry in exchange for donations to the Adnan Syed Legal Trust

you dealt them drugs, did you make money off of them?
A. Sometimes.
Q. Sometimes, but not always?
A. Not always.
Q. But always, you told us, the friends, you did make money off of?
A. Always, I did?
Q. Yes.
A. No, ma'am.
Q. Well, you didn't qualify it; did you?
A. Pardon me?
Q. You answered that you did make money when you dealt drugs to the 12 friends; did you not?
MR. URICK: Objection.
THE COURT: Sustained. Sustained.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. So, sir, it would be fair to say that you treated your acquaintances, in regard to your drug dealing, better than your friends?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. You wouldn't do that?
MR. URICK: Objection.
THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. Aisha [redacted] --

A. Excuse me. May I have some more water, please?
THE COURT: Yes. Madam Clerk, would you fill that water for the witness?
(Pause.)
THE COURT: Yes, Ms. Gutierrez?
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. Aisha [redacted] Mr. Wilds, was she an acquaintance or a friend?
A. She was an acquaintance.
Q. She was an acquaintance. And Stephanie, was she someone to whom you dealt drugs?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. No. And was she someone with whom you smoked?
A. Around her, yes.
Q. Around her? So together with her, you would smoke weed; is that correct?
A. Pardon me?
Q. Together with her, you would smoke weed?
A. She would not smoke, but I would smoke around her, yes.
Q. You would smoke around her?
A. Yes.
Q. So your girlfriend was aware of your drug dealing; right?
A. Yes.

Q. And these 15 acquaintances were aware of your drug dealing; right?
A. Vaguely, yes.
Q. And these 12 -- well, is that a yes or a no? They were or weren't aware?
A. In terms of "aware," what -- what -- how are you --
Q. Well, you described to us that you procured drugs for at least 15 acquaintances; right?
A. Correct.
Q. Some in which you made money; right?
A. Yes.
Q. And some you didn't; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. All 15 of those were aware of your drug dealing; correct?
A. They knew how to get in contact with me, yes.
Q. Okay. People who wanted drugs knew how to get in contact with you; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And you, sir, knew where to get in contract -- in contact with the drugs; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And one of the places where you got in contact with drugs were the strips?

A. No, ma'am.
Q. Okay. Well, sir, you knew where the strips were; did you not?
A. Correct.
Q. Just for your own personal knowledge?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. Not related to your drug dealing, however; right?
A. The strips are dangerous.
Q. The strips are dangerous. You don't go there; right?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. There are strips on Edmondson Avenue, are there not?
A. Correct.
Q. And you were aware of that?
A. Correct.
Q. And you are familiar with Edmondson Avenue; are you not?
A. Vaguely, yes.
Q. Edmondson -- vaguely, sir?
A. Yes. I've never --
Q. Edmondson Avenue was an address that in the middle of the night on the 28th of February, that you give out of your mouth to Detectives MacGillivary and

this page provided by Rabia Chaudry in exchange for donations to the Adnan Syed Legal Trust

Ritz; isn't that correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And later, you admitted that that was a lie; did you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And you exchanged the Edmondson Avenue address, that today, you tell us you're not really familiar with, for another address; did you not?
A. Pardon me?
Q. You exchanged the Edmondson Avenue address for another address; did you not?
A. Correct.
Q. Yes. So you understand what I'm saying; do you not?
A. Yes.
Q. On February 28th, in the middle of the night, starting at 1:30 in the morning, you gave Detectives MacGillivary and Ritz the address of Edmondson Avenue and Franklintown Road; did you not?
A. Yes.
Q. And on January 13th, you knew the name; did you not?
A. Yes.
Q. Because you used it. Is there a question in there, Mr. Wilds?

MR. URICK: Objection.
THE COURT: Sustained. Ms. Gutierrez, I'm trying to get this finished again before Christmas. You've used an hour. Perhaps we can be more pointed in the cross-examination. It might be helpful to all of us. Sustained.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. Mr. Wilds, you picked out the address of Edmondson and Franklintown; did you not?
A. I picked out an address of a strip, yes.
Q. Okay. Of a strip?
A. Yes.
Q. Meaning a place where you buy drugs; right?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Now, Adnan Syed was one of those 15 acquaintances; was he not?
A. Correct.
Q. A person who procured because he knew that you were the man to get weed up at Woodlawn?
A. Excuse me. Yeah, Stephanie told him so.
Q. Stephanie told him so?
A. He had asked her, and she had told him.
Q. And you knew that because he told? So he came to you through his good friend Stephanie?
A. Actually, it was kind of reversed, but yes.

Q. Okay. Now, on the 13th, when you picked out the name and the location of Edmondson and Franklintown, knowing it to be a strip, meaning to be a place where drugs are dealt, you knew physically where it was; did you not?
A. Physically, yes, I knew where it was.
Q. Physically. That place is down in Baltimore City; is it not?
A. Yes.
Q. Yes. And physically, sir, you know that Franklintown Road is a road that starts in Baltimore City, in fact, downtown?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. Aren't you aware of that?
A. I am not.
Q. You're aware that Franklintown Road cuts through Leakin Park and turns into Dogwood Road; are you not?
A. I am not.
Q. You aware of Dogwood Road; are you not?
A. Yes, I am.
Q. Dogwood is a stone's throw from Woodlawn High School; is it not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Woodlawn High School is located on Woodlawn

Drive; is it not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And that street runs, at some point from Woodlawn to the south, and that would take you to Security Boulevard, would it not?
A. I do not know. I'm sorry.
Q. You're lost at Security Boulevard; correct?
A. I know Dogwood Road, and I know Dogwood Station, but --
Q. You are aware that Dogwood Road would be on the north side of Woodlawn High School; are you not?
A. To my recollection, that was Whitehead, but --
Q. Do you know where Dogwood Road is?
A. I know where Dogwood Road is, yes.
Q. Do you not?
A. Yes.
Q. And you know that Dogwood Road continues south into the city; do you not?
A. I do not know where Dogwood Road goes.
Q. You don't?
A. No, I know of Dogwood Road, the beginning. I do not know where it goes.
Q. Franklintown Road, sir, though, is the address that you used on the 28th; is it not?
A. Edmondson Avenue, yes.

this page provided by Rabia Chaudry in exchange for donations to the Adnan Syed Legal Trust

Q. And Edmondson Avenue. That's two streets; right?
A. Yes.
Q. Two separate streets; right?
A. Yes.
Q. And you know that Edmondson Avenue turns into, below, in Baltimore City, to Route 40?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Okay. It's the same road; right?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. It just changes its name; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Much like Dogwood changes its name to Franklintown; isn't that correct?
A. I'm taking your word for it. I'm not sure.
Q. Okay. Because you don't know where it is?
A. I -- I'm sorry.
Q. But you are aware that Franklintown intersecting with Edmondson exists inside the city limits; is that correct?
A. I physically know that Edmondson Avenue goes into the city. I don't know where the line is, or where -- I would say it was in the city.
Q. Okay. You don't have much question about that, do you?

MR. URICK: Objection.
THE COURT: Sustained. Sustained.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. Now, Mr. Wilds, that address is known to you to be a strip?
A. Correct.
Q. Is it not?
A. Correct.
Q. Edmondson Avenue below the city line, below Hilton Parkway, contains a lot of strips; does it not?
A. Correct.
Q. And at the strips, they deal all kinds of drugs; do they not?
A. Correct.
Q. And you've already told us they're pretty dangerous places?
A. Correct.
Q. You know the locations; is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And that's the first place that you told Detectives MacGillivary and Ritz was where you're buying drugs; is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And you told them later, on the 15th of March - - now, those are the only two times you spoke to them?

A. That I was actually sitting down speaking with them, yes.
Q. At any time, anywhere, you could have been standing --
A. Well, I don't recall the other -- I received a phone call, they were trying to get in touch with me. Sometimes they would come and say, "We need to speak with you at this time," but never an extensive conversation.
Q. Okay. But there were other times you spoke to them; right?
A. Yeah.
Q. But the two big times you spoke to them, you spoke to them while a tape recorder was running?
A. Yes.
Q. Is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And that was with your consent; was it not?
A. Yes.
Q. And you didn't have Ms. Benaroya present to represent you, did you?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. No. You didn't have a lawyer, did you?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. You gave up the right to a lawyer; did you not?
MR. URICK: Objection.

THE COURT: Basis?
MR. URICK: Relevance?
THE COURT: Okay. Overruled.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. You gave up the right to a lawyer; did you not?
A. I did not obtain a lawyer, because I had not been charged. I did not have the money.
Q. On the 28th -- well, you recall on the 28th, you had long conversations with Detective MacGillivary and Ritz; did you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. You signed a document; did you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. That gave up your right to have a lawyer; did you not?
A. Present at that time, yes.
Q. And that document told you that a lawyer would be appointed for you if you wanted one; did it not?
A. Yes, ma'am, but --
Q. Yes. So you knew that; did you not?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. So, sir, notwithstanding what Detectives MacGillivary and Ritz told you that, you didn't believe them?
A. Pardon?

this page provided by Rabia Chaudry in exchange for donations to the Adnan Syed Legal Trust

Q. Notwithstanding the fact that they told --
THE COURT: Could you please keep your voice up, Ms. Gutierrez?
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. Mr. Wilds, you recall signing the document?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Giving up the right to a lawyer; is that correct?
A. At the present time, yes.
Q. You didn't tell Detectives MacGillivary or Ritz that you wanted to lawyer; did you?
A. One occasion, yes.
Q. And they questioned you notwithstanding that?
A. Pardon me?
Q. They continued to question you, even though you asked for a lawyer?
A. I asked how would I go about getting one.
Q. And they told you that you'd have to hire one?
A. They told me I'd have to contact the State's Attorney's Office or I would have to hire one myself.
Q. The State's Attorney's Office?
A. I'm sorry. Not the State's Attorney's Office, the Public Defender's Office.
Q. The Public Defender's Office?
A. Yes, ma'am.

Q. And even though you asked them that question, they continued to question you?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And they didn't provide you a lawyer?
A. I could acquired one for myself.
Q. You could have acquired one for yourself? But see, you signed the document giving up the right to a lawyer at 1:30, before 1:30 a.m., on February 28th; did you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And you signed again, giving up the right to a lawyer on March 15th, the second day that you spoke to them; is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. On the 28th, at 1:30 a.m. in the morning, one of the first questions they asked you was, "Did you kill Hae Lee"; did they not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. They asked you that question a number of times; did they not?
A. Yes.
Q. And they only later -- and you, of course, told them no; right?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And you were down there for them to ask

you that question; were you not?
A. Yes.
Q. When you walked in to speak with them, you knew that they perhaps suspected you; did you not?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. You knew as soon as they asked you that question; did you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And at that point, sir, you didn't shut down the questioning; did you?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. You didn't stop questionings and insist that they get you a lawyer; did you?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. That questioning went on for several hours; did it not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And you answered every single one of their questions; did you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. You came to know, through their questions, but they didn't put the words in your mouth; did they?
A. Came to know what?
Q. So there were certain things that they put the words in your mouth on?

A. You said I "came to know." I came to know what?
Q. Well, sir, they didn't put the words in your mouth; did they?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. Okay. The words that came out of your mouth that were recorded on a tape recorder operated by them were words from your mouth?
A. Yes.
Q. Is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. They, however, told you certain information; did they not?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. They asked you if you knew Adnan Syed?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And they explained to you that they had gotten information from some source that they should look at Adnan; did they not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Yes. And they told you that they had gotten anonymous calls from persons that they described as Asian over the phone, that they called and said, "Look at Adnan;" did they not?
A. No, ma'am, they did not.

this page provided by Rabia Chaudry in exchange for donations to the Adnan Syed Legal Trust

Q. Now, sir, on the 28th, when you told them where your initial arrangement to meet Adnan, your acquaintance, that location was out of your mouth; was it not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. The location of Franklintown and Edmondson, whether it's in the city or the county, was not something they suggested to you?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. Was it? And on the 28th of February, you knew that the body of Hae Lee had already been discovered?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Okay. And that it had been discovered from inside of Leakin Park; was that correct?
A. I just caught that on the news. I didn't know.
Q. But inside Leakin Park?
A. That's where it turned out to be, yes.
Q. And you knew, when you heard about the discovery of the body, where Leakin Park was; did you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. You knew that Franklintown Road ran through it; did you not?
A. No, ma'am, I did not.
Q. Well, sir, you described that night, on the

28th, all of your activities; did you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Okay. And you knew that there were circumstances that brought you to Homicide that made you a suspect; did you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. You weren't there of your own free will; were you?
A. No, ma'am, I was not.
Q. And on many levels, you were treated like a suspect, were you not?
A. That's correct.
Q. Until after this statement; correct?
A. Even after that.
Q. But until after the statement, you had been treated like a suspect; correct?
A. After that also.
Q. No, but my question is --
A. Oh, after the statement, correct.
Q. Right. At least until then.
A. Correct.
Q. On some levels, you continued to be treated as a suspect; isn't that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And being a suspect meant that you consented to

your fingerprints?
A. Yes.
Q. They really already had those, though; didn't they?
A. Yes.
Q. From your prior arrests?
A. Yes.
Q. The ones that you've described for us?
A. The same ones, yes.
Q. Right? And sir, you said that arrest had occurred two weeks before?
A. The arrest, I believe, shortly about two weeks before, disorderly conduct.
Q. Okay. Two weeks before the day that you're in the early morning hours, you're in the Homicide Unit speaking --
A. No. Further than that.
Q. Okay. More than two weeks before that?
A. Yes.
Q. So what is the point in time that you're referring to the two weeks being from?
A. I don't know. Like, I'd say it was --
Q. You got arrested at the end of January, 1999; did you not?
MR. URICK: Objection.

BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. At a time when you were --
THE COURT: Overruled.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. -- together with Jen Pusateri?
A. Yes.
Q. Is that correct?
A. That's it, yes.
Q. Okay. You and she were in her car?
A. Yes.
Q. Is that right? Because you didn't acquire a car after these arrests, did you?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. No. And you also consented to give them blood?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And hair?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. From your head?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. From your pubis?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. Okay. Just from your head?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. You gave them everything they asked; did you not?

this page provided by Rabia Chaudry in exchange for donations to the Adnan Syed Legal Trust

A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. You signed away your rights to a lawyer or to not give up personal things from your own body; did you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Okay. And you knew, and you felt, that you were treated as a suspect; is that correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. After the 28th, which occurred in the middle of the night, were you ever taken to a grand jury?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. No. And you knew, sir, that Adnan got arrested at some point?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Did you not? And you knew that it occurred based on what you told them?
A. No, ma'am, I did not.
Q. Now, sir, yesterday, Mr. Urick, you admitted that you lied; did you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. You lied when you spoke to the police on the 28th; is that correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. You lied about some critical things; did you not?

A. Yes.
Q. In the first statement where you spoke to the police, you didn't mention Crysta; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Crysta, the person you describe as a friend; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. That you met through your very good friend Jen Pusateri?
MR. URICK: Objection.
MS. GUTIERREZ: Correct?
THE COURT: Overruled.
THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. You never mentioned her existence in the middle of the night on February 28th, did you?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. And, sir, you never mentioned Crysta's boyfriend, Jeff Johnson, did you?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. And, sir, you never mentioned that you went there in the afternoon and you smoked dope, did you?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. You were not -- I'm sorry. Sir, you weren't asked on the 28th in the middle of the night, to tell any

of the details of your drug dealing, were you?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. Although they asked you to clarify that your reference to a strip meant a place where drugs are dealt?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. They asked you that; right?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And you confirmed to them that that's what you meant; isn't that right?
A. That's right.
Q. They knew about your drug dealing; did they not?
A. I believe so.
Q. They asked you about it; did they not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. But they never asked you to provide any details of what you did?
A. Pardon me?
Q. Dealing drugs? They never asked you to provide any details of what you did?
A. They told me that wasn't their department.
Q. So they told you that they weren't going to ask you about your drug dealing?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. And that relaxed you; did it not?

A. Some.
Q. Okay. Because you told us yesterday that you lied because you were afraid about your drug dealing; right?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. But once they told you on the 28th that they weren't going to ask you about that which you were most concerned about yesterday, you tell us you lied anyway; didn't you?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Yeah. And the location of where you say you met Adnan, in the trunk pop, you know what I'm referring to, the trunk pop; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. There was only one real trunk pop; right?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Now, you knew Hae; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And you knew what she looked like; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. You had spoken to her and seen her frontally; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And you knew that she was Adnan's girlfriend for a period of time?

this page provided by Rabia Chaudry in exchange for donations to the Adnan Syed Legal Trust

A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Did you not? And because he was just an acquaintance and not a friend, you knew nothing about the status of their relationship until he spoke you about it that day?
A. The prior day, the 12th.
Q. The prior day?
A. No. I'm sorry. The 13. The morning of the 13th.
Q. On the 12th. Okay. Well, let's get it straight.
A. The morning of the 13th.
Q. Would you agree with me, Mr. Wilds, it's hard to keep lies straight?
MR. URICK: Objection.
THE COURT: Sustained. Sustained.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. On the 13th, you lied to the police; did you not?
A. The 13th?
Q. Yes?
A. No, I did not.
Q. I'm sorry. On the 28th of February, you lied to the police about the events of the 13th; correct?
A. The 28th, yes.

Q. You didn't tell them about the 12th; did you?
A. I received a phone call on the 12th. No I did not tell them.
Q. You didn't tell them. So would you agree that that's a lie of omission?
A. I'm not understanding you.
Q. That you didn't tell them?
A. Yes.
Q. You didn't consider that to be a lie?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. You did? So you did lie to them about events that you subsequently said occurred on the 12th; correct?
A. On the 13th.
Q. Sir, did you have any conversation with Adnan on the 12th?
A. Yes. A brief phone call.
Q. About these events?
A. About these events?
Q. Yes?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. No. And on the 28th, did you tell them you had any conversation with Adnan about events on the 13th -- on the 12th?
A. I do not recall.
Q. On the 13th of January, your girlfriend

Stephanie's birthday, the day after your own birthday, did you know before you spoke to Adnan the status of his relationship with Hae?
A. No, ma'am, I did not.
Q. Adnan would not call you up on any regular basis, would he?
A. I'm sorry?
Q. Adnan, your acquaintance?
A. I didn't -- irregular or regular? I didn't hear you.
Q. He would not call you up on a regular basis?
A. A regular, no, ma'am.
Q. Would he? The only time he would call you is to ask you to procure some dope?
A. Marijuana, yes.
Q. Marijuana. There wouldn't be any other reason that he would contact you, would it?
A. On occasion.
Q. He didn't invite you places; did he?
A. One time.
Q. You, sir, wanted the car that day; did you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. You wanted a car, because it was your girlfriend's birthday; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.

Q. And even though you knew that ahead of time, you did nothing about getting her a present or remembrance before that day; right?
A. No, ma'am, I did not.
Q. So you needed a car to take you where you wanted to go; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. The trip to the mall was for your purposes; was it not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Not Adnan's?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. Correct? And your getting the car afterward was because you requested it; did you not?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. You had never requested the car before then?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. Okay. And you've told us that you were not present during the killing of Hae Lee; correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And you had nothing to do with it; correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And that's what you told the police the day that you lied to them on the 28th; correct?
A. That's correct.

this page provided by Rabia Chaudry in exchange for donations to the Adnan Syed Legal Trust

Q. And you only found out about it from Adnan later?
A. The events of the killing?
Q. Yes?
A. Yes.
Q. But you got your girlfriend's present in the morning; did you not?
A. Yes.
Q. He took you where you wanted to go; did he not?
A. Correct, in the morning.
Q. And he didn't need you to help kill Hae, according to you; right?
A. No, ma'am, he did not.
Q. Because you weren't there; right?
A. Correct.
Q. And he never asked you for any help; right?
A. Pertaining to?
Q. To killing Hae Lee?
A. No, ma'am, he did not.
Q. Isn't that what brings us here?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Isn't that the only thing that brings us here?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Okay. So your acquaintance Adnan told you nothing until after the fact; right?

A. No, ma'am.
Q. Well, he didn't tell you how the killing occurred before the killing; did he?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. No. And he didn't seem to need his car; right?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. Because he left it with you; right?
A. Correct.
Q. And he had already taken you where you needed to go to get the birthday present, which was the reason you needed the car; right?
A. I wasn't finished shopped yet, but, yes.
Q. Okay. So you really still needed the car, even though he had taken you to the mall?
A. Right.
Q. Right?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. And you wanted the car; right?
A. It would have been nice, yes.
Q. And you asked for the car; did you not?
A. No, I did not.
Q. Okay. So it just so happened that he left the car with you and that met your need to have a car that day?
A. Yes, ma'am.

Q. Is that correct? Okay. Because you didn't ask for it?
A. Correct.
Q. Even though you needed it?
A. I didn't need it, but it would have been nice, yes.
Q. It would have been nice?
A. Correct.
Q. That's a difference than needing it; right?
A. Yes. Everything was walking distance.
Q. It would have been nice, because it would have made your life easier; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And when you dropped Adnan off at school, you dropped him off in the front; did you not?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. In the back?
A. Yes.
Q. Is that closer to the gym entrance or behind?
A. Which occasion are you talking about?
Q. The first time?
A. Okay. That's in the rear. It's further from the gym entrance.
Q. Further from the gym? That's where most of the students park and then come in?

A. Yes.
Q. That's a familiar place to you; is it not?
A. Yes.
Q. And that was still during the school day; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, you knew that Adnan had to practice?
A. I did not.
Q. You didn't know that?
A. No, I didn't.
Q. Because you've never dropped him off before?
A. Correct.
Q. And you've never borrowed his car before?
A. Correct.
Q. And nobody would have been familiar with seeing you drive his car?
A. Correct.
Q. He knew of your relationship with Stephanie, because of his relationship with Stephanie; right?
A. Correct.
Q. And he knew it was Stephanie's birthday; did he not?
A. Correct.
Q. And he made you aware that he had planned ahead of time, he already had a present for his best friend?

this page provided by Rabia Chaudry in exchange for donations to the Adnan Syed Legal Trust

A. No, he did not.
Q. Did he not? So you knew nothing about his preparations to get a present for his friend before the actual day?
A. Right.
Q. And, sir, when you dropped Adnan off the first time, you did not make arrangements to pick him up for a specific time, did you?
A. Correct.
Q. You expected a phone call from him; right?
A. Correct.
Q. And, in fact, you told us you did receive a phone call; right?
A. Correct.
Q. At Jen's house; right? Now, Jen, your friend, is another person you lied to the police by not telling them about her on the 28th; right?
MR. URICK: Objection.
THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. So you were -- Jen, however, you went right from dropping off Adnan over to her house?
A. Yes.
Q. Even though you had things that you've already told us it might be nice if you had a car to do; right?

A. Yes.
Q. You didn't go right out and do those things; did you?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. You went and you played video games with a 15-year old?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Right?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And waited for Jen?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. But your purpose wasn't to go there and play video games with a 15-year old; was it?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. It was?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. It just so happened that your good friend Jen came home?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. You knew about when she came home; did you not?
A. No, ma'am, I did not.
Q. You hung with -- you did not expect her at any given time?
A. I didn't know her school schedule.
Q. Well, sir, there came a point when you decided,

"I'm not going to lie to the police anymore"; isn't that accurate?
A. Correct.
Q. And you took yourself at that point voluntarily down to the Police Department?
A. No, ma'am, I did not.
Q. Okay. They came and got you; did they not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. They came and got you specifically to ask you about all the discrepancies in what you had told them about on the 28th; right?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. The time you lied; right?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And then you told them about Jen?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Did you not? The calls to Jen that appear on Adnan's cell phone records were made by you; were they not?
A. Some of them, yes.
Q. Okay. Adnan wasn't an independent friend of Jen Pusateri's was she?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. Had she even met him before?
A. On occasion.

Q. On occasion. They didn't independently socialize, did they?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. And she wasn't a person who procured dope for acquaintances, was she?
A. Not to my knowledge.
Q. Not to your knowledge. So she may have been?
A. Possibly.
Q. Are you suggesting that she may also be a drug dealer like you were?
A. I don't know.
Q. You don't know. You don't know that fact about your good friend?
A. That's true. No, I do not.
THE COURT: We're going to take our morning break at this time. It will be a brief break.
Ladies and gentlemen, we will call for you at 11:45. You may retire to your jury room. Do not discuss the case with anyone or let anyone discuss the case with you.
(The jury was excused from the courtroom.)
(Brief recess.)
(The jury was not present upon reconvening.)
THE COURT: Counsel, may we get the jury?
MR. URICK: Yes, Your Honor.

this page provided by Rabia Chaudry in exchange for donations to the Adnan Syed Legal Trust

MS. GUTIERREZ: Yes, Your Honor.
(Pause.)
(The jury entered the courtroom.)
(Pause.)
THE COURT: Madam Clerk?
THE CLERK: I remind you, you're still under oath. Please be seated.
THE COURT: Ms. Gutierrez.
MS. GUTIERREZ: Thank you, Your Honor.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. Mr. Wilds, we've been talking about the place at Edmondson Avenue and Franklintown Road before the break; right?
A. Yes.
Q. You never had to point out that place, --
THE COURT: Can I have the air-conditioning off, please?
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. -- did you?
A. I'm sorry. I just couldn't hear you.
Q. You never had to point out that place to the police; did you?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. You had to point out the place on Edmondson Avenue?

A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Okay. And that was the first place in your first statement to the police when you were brought down to headquarters in the middle of the night as a suspect, that you told them that the trunk pop occurred?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Is that correct? And by the trunk pop, you're describing what may we assume was a short interval, the trunk pop itself?
A. Oh, the time?
Q. Yes?
A. Yes.
Q. The trunk pop was a quick thing; was it not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And you said in your first statement that that event took place at a place close to a strip on Edmondson Avenue; is that correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And the police asked you to show them that place; did they not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And you did so; did you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. So you not only lied to them that in the middle of the night statement that was taped; right?

A. Correct.
Q. You lied to them again when you took them out to show it to them?
A. Well, that's why I lied to them.
Q. Well, you lied to them first on the 28th?
A. Correct.
Q. At the police station; right?
A. Correct.
Q. You didn't speak to them before then, did you?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. You didn't go to the police or tell anybody what you knew?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. Between the 13th, when these things you tell us happened; right?
A. Right.
Q. And the 28th, in the middle of the night; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And on the 28th, you were already 19; were you not?
A. Yes.
Q. And their very first questions made you understand that you were a suspect?
A. Yes.

Q. Is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. You understood that, even though they didn't spell it out and say to you, I'm a suspect; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And you knew that as a person who had attained the age where society considered you to be an adult, that you could face the death penalty for a murder?
A. Correct.
Q. Did you not?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And that was in your mind; was it not?
A. Partially.
Q. Partially in your mind was concern about your own welfare; was it not?
A. Yes, but --
Q. So after you lied to them about where things occurred, they asked you to take them around and show them; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. So the first time you went around to show them, you picked a place on Edmondson Avenue; did you not?
A. Correct.
Q. And the place on Edmondson Avenue was close to

this page provided by Rabia Chaudry in exchange for donations to the Adnan Syed Legal Trust

where the entrance is to Leakin Park, was it not?
A. I'm not aware of that.
Q. Not aware? Sir, the strips that you were familiar with included Edmondson Avenue and Poplar Grove; did it not?
A. Okay. Yes.
Q. Okay. That's an area you know?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you not?
A. Yes.
Q. As a major strip; is it not?
A. Yes.
Q. A very dangerous place; is it not?
A. Yes.
Q. And that location, Edmondson Avenue and Poplar Grove was close to the place where you took the police; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And you described to them where the trunk pop was; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, according to your first statement to the police, you had not seen Hae Lee that day; correct?
A. Alive?
Q. Well, before the trunk pop?

A. Correct.
Q. You hadn't seen her at all; right?
A. Correct.
Q. So it wasn't a question of a lot or a little bit. You hadn't seen her at all; correct?
A. I asked if you said if I had seen her alive.
Q. You hadn't seen her at all that day before the trunk pop; right?
A. Correct.
Q. Dead or alive?
A. Correct.
Q. Is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. That's what you told the police in the middle of the night on the 28th?
A. Correct.
Q. When you were considered a suspect?
A. Correct.
Q. Right? Okay. And you hadn't seen her; right?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. So you didn't lie about that?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. Right? According to what you're saying today?
A. Correct.
Q. Right? And that was not one of the concerns of

the police on the 15th of March, when once again, they hauled you downtown to speak to you?
A. I do not know.
Q. Well, --
A. What the police thought, I do not know.
Q. Yesterday, Mr. Urick asked you about the ways in which you lied; do you recall that?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And you remember them; did you not?
A. Yes.
Q. His question was not a surprise, was it?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. He asked you about lying about Edmondson Avenue?
A. Yes.
Q. And Franklintown Road?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Right? The Franklintown Road that you today don't know goes through Leakin Park; right?
A. Correct.
Q. Because you don't know the names of the roads; is that right?
A. Correct.
Q. But you had picked out, it was your picking out, from your brain, the address of Edmondson and

Franklintown on the 28th; was it not?
A. I had said Edmondson Avenue, yes, ma'am.
Q. Okay. You said Edmondson Avenue and Franklintown Road; did you not?
A. No, ma'am. Franklintown Road was not added until we actually drove there. They did not -- I said off Edmondson Avenue.
Q. Okay. So Franklintown Road, sir, is a place that you actually picked out?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Was it not? A location that you knew; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. You knew it then on that day; did you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And that day that you picked it out occurred after that middle of the night interrogation; right?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. But before your second interrogation; is that right?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And though you don't remember that there was another occasion which you came downtown, there were other days in which either Detective MacGillivary or Ritz called with questions?
A. A few, yes.

this page provided by Rabia Chaudry in exchange for donations to the Adnan Syed Legal Trust

Q. Is that right? Not questions about your drug dealing; right?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. That never came up after the 28th; right?
MR. URICK: Objection.
THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. But questions about the events of the 13th; is that right?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Now, on the 28th, what you told them was that Adnan told you before you dropped him off at school, after the outing to the mall, that he was going to kill his girlfriend Hae?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Is that right? That's what you told them that night in the middle of the night?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Is that correct? And you knew that Hae was his girlfriend; correct?
A. I didn't think they were together, but I knew they were involved, yes.
Q. Okay. You knew that they had been involved over a substantial period of time; did you not?
A. Yes.

Q. You had seen them together at the junior prom the previous year, had you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Yes. You had gone with your girlfriend Stephanie; right?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. The same Stephanie that's your acquaintance Adnan's good friend; right?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And you saw him there together with Hae; did you not?
A. Pardon me?
Q. You saw your acquaintance Adnan at the same junior prom that you attended with your girlfriend; did you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And so you knew that they were an item; did you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. One of the other things you told Detectives MacGillivary and Ritz in the middle of the night on the 28th that Hae was described by your acquaintance Adnan as being so heartless; did you not?
A. Yes.
Q. You said that that's what Adnan said; right?

A. Correct.
Q. And that Hae, being so heartless had all of a sudden said, "Like, I don't want to be with you"; do you recall that?
A. Yes.
Q. Yes. And that's what you told the detectives that night; correct?
A. Be with Adnan.
Q. That Hae all of a sudden had told them she didn't want to be with him?
A. Correct. Yes.
Q. Like it was a sudden surprise; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And that's what you told the detectives on the 28th?
A. Correct.
Q. That Adnan's upsetness was because she appeared so heartless and it was such a surprise; is that right?
A. Correct.
Q. From the junior prom, and that occurred in April -- April the 25th of 1999 -- or 1998?
A. I'll agree.
Q. You kept track of Hae and Adnan, did you not?
A. No, ma'am, I did not.
Q. No. But on the 28th, you told us you knew that

they weren't together anymore; correct?
A. The 28th of February?
Q. Correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And your only knowledge of that event was from what you tell us that your acquaintance Adnan said to you on the morning of the 13th?
A. Correct.
Q. Is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Prior to then, you didn't know the track of their relationship, did you?
A. Vaguely. Hearsay.
Q. You had never procured drugs for Hae Lee, had you?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. No. And you had never socialized together with her, had you?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. And you had not kept track of whether, from April, your acquaintance Adnan and your acquaintance Hae were still together as girlfriend or boyfriend, did you?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. It didn't really concern you?
A. No, ma'am.

this page provided by Rabia Chaudry in exchange for donations to the Adnan Syed Legal Trust

Q. Did it? So the first knowledge that you had that they were not together was, from what you said to Detectives MacGillivary and Ritz in the middle of the night on the 28th, was what Adnan said to you, according to you, on the 13th; is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Now, and that was in the same statement that you've already admitted lying about the location of where the trunk pop was; right?
A. That's correct.
Q. Now, the trunk pop you described to the detectives on the 28th, you popped the trunk, and you looked inside; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And he described it, it was Hae; correct?
A. Adnan?
Q. Yes?
A. No, ma'am, he did not.
Q. Before the trunk popped, he never indicated that who was in the car was Hae?
A. No, ma'am. He did not.
Q. You didn't tell the detectives that evening, the 28th, or that early morning hours, that he told you that he'd done it before he popped the trunk; right?
A. Yes, ma'am, he did, but he never said --

Q. Now, what he had done --
MR. URICK: Objection.
THE COURT: Please let him finish his answer.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. What he had done you understood to mean he killed Hae; right?
A. Correct.
Q. Because that morning on the 13th, the first day that you had ever had his car; right, --
A. Correct.
Q. -- and the first day you had ever had his phone, while you're in the mall, you told the detectives Adnan said, "I'm going to kill her"; right?
A. Correct.
Q. Because she was so heartless; right?
A. Correct.
Q. And their break-up had been so sudden and unexpected?
A. Correct.
Q. That she didn't want to be seen with him; is that correct?
A. I don't recall him saying that, but something along that lines.
Q. Well, you recall telling the detectives that Adnan said that Hae was so heartless, because all of a

sudden, like, "I don't want to be with you"?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And if that's what they recorded, that would be accurate; right?
A. Yes. yes.
Q. Because you did speak to them, and they did record what you said; right?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Okay. And that information came from entirely you; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. They didn't suggest to you that, did they?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. At some point after they stopped asking you if you killed Hae, they began to ask you, "What about the boyfriend," did they not?
A. No, ma'am, they did not.
Q. No. You just volunteered the information about the boyfriend after the interview began?
A. No, ma'am, I did not.
Q. No. You didn't just volunteer it, they asked you; did they not?
A. They didn't ask me did the boyfriend kill her, no.
Q. No. They never asked you that?

A. No, ma'am.
Q. But you told them that anyway; didn't you?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Okay. And you told them what Adnan said after they asked you about Adnan; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And on the 28th, you told them that all events occurred on the 13th?
A. Except for the phone call, yes.
Q. Except for the phone call that you said had occurred late at night?
A. Right.
Q. Correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And that -- well, I thought you said it was at like 10:30 or so?
A. No, I received a phone call on the 13th at 10:30.
Q. On the 13th. But no, my question was, all of those events that you said on the 28th, while you were being treated as a suspect, you told Detectives MacGillivary and Ritz that they all occurred on the 13th; did you not?
A. Yes.
Q. Except for a phone call?

this page provided by Rabia Chaudry in exchange for donations to the Adnan Syed Legal Trust

MR. URICK: Objection.
THE COURT: Overruled.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. Isn't that what you just said?
A. Correct.
Q. The phone call that you're referring to, you told them occurred the night before on the 12th; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Your birthday; correct? Is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And that that phone call came from Adnan; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Who wasn't your friend, who's just an acquaintance; right?
A. Yes.
Q. Who called to arrange picking you up the following morning; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And that was the only thing that occurred in the phone call, according to what you told the detectives on the 28th; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Later there came a time on the 15th, when they again hauled you down; is that correct?

A. Yes.
Q. Yes. They did, didn't they?
A. Yes.
Q. And you still felt like you were treating -- being treated like a suspect; were you not?
A. Yes.
Q. Between the 28th and the 15th, you had to give up your head hair; did you not?
A. Yes.
Q. And have fingerprints taken?
A. Yes.
Q. Is that right? And have blood drawn?
A. Yes.
Q. Is that right? All of which you agreed to; right?
A. Yes, ma'am, I did.
Q. Were you were quick, you had nothing to hide from them?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. And even though they didn't ask you about your drug dealing --
MR. URICK: Objection.
MS. GUTIERREZ: -- although they knew about it, you continued to lie to them on the 28th; did you not?
MR. URICK: Objection.

THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. You continued to lie them even after you felt more comfortable; isn't that correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And you continued to lie about many things?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Isn't that correct?
A. On?
Q. On the 15th, when Detective MacGillivary and Ritz again interrogated you, they started out telling you there were so many inconsistencies they couldn't get to them all, didn't they?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Yes. And you understood what they were about, weren't they?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Because you knew you had lied; right?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. About many things; right?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. You had lied about the Edmondson and Franklintown Road; right?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And you had already taken them to that specific

location; is that correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. A location identified as Edmondson and Franklintown Road; that is correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. You picked out that location to show them; is that correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. I'm sorry?
A. Visually, yes.
Q. Visually?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Well, you actually took them there; right?
A. Right.
Q. To a specific location; right? And that location was, in fact, Edmondson and Franklintown; is that not right?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. You were physically there?
A. Correct.
Q. With them?
A. Correct.
Q. In a police car; is that right?
A. Correct.
Q. And you told them, "Oh, this is the place the

this page provided by Rabia Chaudry in exchange for donations to the Adnan Syed Legal Trust

trunk popped"?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Isn't that right? And that location is below Hilton Parkway; is it not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Yes. And it is close to Poplar Grove; is that right?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Very close; is it not?
A. I believe so.
Q. Well, you knew it; did you not? It's not a place you don't know; is it?
A. I don't know the street names.
Q. You don't know the street name, but you picked out and took them in their car to show them the truth of what it is you said?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Even though it was a lie?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. So after that all-night interrogation, you continued to lie to them about the same things?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. But on March the 15th, when once again you were hauled down there, you decided to come clean on several things?

A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Once you were confronted; is that correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. You knew, sir, you said you didn't know whether or not or how long Adnan, your acquaintance had a cell phone; right?
A. Correct.
Q. But when you got the car, which was a good thing for you, although you tell us you didn't request it?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. He left his cell phone whenever you've gotten it, or to whoever it belonged, with you?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Is that right?
A. Correct.
Q. And the arrangement that was made was that he was to call you on the cell phone he left with you in his car; is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. On the 28th, you lied about the sequence of events; did you not?
A. I do not recall.
Q. Is that because you lied about so many things, sir --

MR. URICK: Objection.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. -- you can't recall which you lied about?
THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. Mr. Wilds, the sequence of events, you're only asked ultimately about events that you said all occurred on the 13th; is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Other than the single late-night phone call to make arrangements to pick you up; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And the sequence of events are exactly what Detectives MacGillivary and Ritz spent so much time in the middle of the night on a Saturday night to a Sunday morning asking you about; isn't that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. How things happened, in what order, how long they took; correct?
A. Yes, something like that.
Q. And the sequence of events went from the trunk pop; right?
A. Yes.
Q. And when you took them to the place and you continued to lie about where that occurred, you described

what you saw; right?
A. Correct.
Q. And what you described was Hae Lee in the car, in the trunk of the car?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. You described her as being scrunched up; did you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. You described the clothing she was wearing; did you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And you described that you knew it was Hae Lee, although she was scrunched up; is that correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And you described it as occurring right after Adnan told you he had killed her?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Right? And you described her lips as blue; is that correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Even though you told us you couldn't really see her face?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Okay. But you knew from what you saw sufficient detail to describe all of those items?

this page provided by Rabia Chaudry in exchange for donations to the Adnan Syed Legal Trust

A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. The skirt that she was wearing?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. The color of her pantyhose?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Is that right?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. The absence of shoes; is that right?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. A white blouse; is that right?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. A light white jacket?
A. I do not recall.
Q. You don't recall the jacket?
A. No.
Q. But you do recall the toast pantyhose?
A. Toast, taupe.
Q. Toast. Taupe?
A. Taupe, yes.
Q. And taupe was a word you used?
A. Yes.
Q. Is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. They didn't select any of those descriptions for you?

A. No, ma'am.
Q. Is that right? You described that as your observations from a quick trunk pop near a major drug strip?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Is that right?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And you subsequently continued that lie by showing them where that occurred; is that correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Now, on the 15th of March, did you have warning that they were coming to get you?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. They hadn't made a special arrangement and said, "Oh, by the way, sir, would you kindly come down to the Homicide" --
A. No, ma'am.
Q. -- Office"; did they? They just came and hauled you in there; did they not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And they advised you in the very same way of things like, "Oh, if you want a lawyer, a lawyer can be appointed for you"; correct?
A. They give me no legal advice.
Q. They gave you no legal advice, and they didn't

sign away then your rights to a lawyer?
A. I read papers and I signed the paper.
Q. And you signed them, and those papers told you those things; right?
A. Told me my rights, yes.
Q. They told you that you didn't have to talk to anybody; right?
A. Yes.
Q. And you knew that on the 15th of March, you still felt considered like a suspect; right?
A. Yes.
Q. They had at that time, because they shared with you your acquaintance Adnan's cell phone records; did they not?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And in fact, they asked you about numbers on the cell phone records; did they not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And they asked you to describe numbers on a cell phone printout; did they not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And you described for them the number 390-0384 as Jen Pusateri's number; did you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And on that day, the 13th, you had

conversations with Jen Pusateri; right?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. But on the 15th, you hadn't told the detectives that; right?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. You had not mentioned Jen's name, Jennifer Pusateri; right?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Never at all? Not her last name or her first name --
A. No, ma'am.
Q. -- as someone that you had contact with and saw and spoke with; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Okay. But they confronted you with a list of numbers, and you were forced to identify that Jen Pusateri was your friend?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Right? And when you called Jen Pusateri on the 13th, and the call was made, you made that call; did you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. The cell phone that you say your acquaintance Adnan gave you on the 13th was in your hands; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.

this page provided by Rabia Chaudry in exchange for donations to the Adnan Syed Legal Trust

Page 144

Q. On more than one occasion; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And your friend Jennifer Pusateri also had a pager, did she not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. That was your other way of getting -- excuse me, to get to know her -- to get in touch with her; was it not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And you knew that pager number by heart; did you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Okay. And that pager also appeared on the cell phone records; did it not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And so you knew you were going to be confronted with evidence that established that there was a person that they could go talk to that you had told them nothing about; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. You so were sort of forced to give up the truth on that; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Okay. Now, sir, Mr. McFurley, or Forley, Furlew?

Page 145

A. Oh, I'm sorry. Furlew.
Q. Furlew. What was his first name, Patrick?
A. Yes, Patrick.
Q. Okay. Patrick was not a name that you had told them about on the 28th in the dead of the middle of the night, had you?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. And Patrick was your friend; was he not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. He was someone you called; is that correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. You called via the phone that you didn't know existed from your acquaintance Adnan, on the one and only day up until that point that you had ever been in his car, or borrowed his car? You knew that he wasn't friends with Patrick, --
MR. URICK: Objection.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. -- Furlew; didn't you?
THE COURT: Overruled.
THE WITNESS: I'm not understanding your question.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. Well, you knew that Patrick wasn't a friend of Adnan's right?

Page 146

A. Yes.
Q. He was your friend; right?
A. Yes.
Q. And you had called him looking for weed; right?
A. Yes.
Q. You were always looking for weed; right?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. To either smoke or to sell; right?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. Or to give away to some of your friends?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. You knew once they confronted you with that, that Patrick, whose number appeared, could easily be traced; right?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And you didn't know on the 15th of March whether or not Detectives MacGillivary or Ritz had already spoken to your friend, did you?
A. No, ma'am, I did not.
Q. And you didn't know whether or not they had already spoken to your friend Jen; right?
A. No, ma'am, I did not.
Q. And you didn't know if they had spoken to them, what they had told them; right?
A. Correct.

Page 147

Q. So that sort of worried you; did it not?
A. No, ma'am, it did not.
Q. There's another number that appears on that day, sir. Let me give you an unmarked copy. That appears, if you would look at Number 24 --
MS. GUTIERREZ: I'm showing him a copy of State's Exhibit --
THE COURT: 34.
MS. GUTIERREZ: -- 34.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. You've seen that list before; haven't you?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And that wasn't the list you were confronted with on the 15th of March, was it?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. It looked like a cell phone bill; did it not?
A. A lot like one.
Q. But it had all the same numbers on it; did it not?
A. I cannot recall.
Q. Well, sir, you recall it had the numbers Jen Pusateri, your friend; right?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And that those numbers appeared on at least four occasions, did it not?

this page provided by Rabia Chaudry in exchange for donations to the Adnan Syed Legal Trust

Page 148

A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Okay. And the number you are familiar with that I've asked you to look at, could you read that out?
A. 24?
Q. 24?
A. 301-695-8485.
Q. And that's a number that you were asked about; were you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. That's a number that you know?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Was it not? That's a number that you dialed on that day?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And when the police were asking you about this, you knew because they told you that the numbers they were asking about did not appear on the cell phone records of Adnan Syed's phone except on this day, no other day. Did they tell you that?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. Well, sir, you knew when they asked you, you identified that number; did you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. That's a number that physically located, it's a hard line, not a cell line; is it not?

Page 149

A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And it is located not in Baltimore City; correct?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. And not in Baltimore County; correct?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. But in Frederick County; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Frederick County, way up I-70; is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. I-70, the same road that you've identified -- excuse me, as a place you visited on the 13th; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And that number is a friend of yours; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Not a friend of Adnan Syed's; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And that phone call, 24, whose home is that?
A. Phil Mendez.
Q. Pardon?
A. Phil Mendez.
Q. Phil Mendez. And that's not a name that you had mentioned to the police on the 28th; had you?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. And you had not mentioned of any time in

Page 150

between then, had you?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. Now, Mr. Mendez, is he a fellow procurer of weed?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. No. But he's your friend; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. You called him on that day; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. He doesn't even know who Adnan is; does he?
A. No, ma'am, he does not.
Q. No contact with him?
A. No contact with him.
Q. And you knew that; did you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And that conversation lasted for a minute and 25 seconds?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Is that correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And so once confronted with those numbers, you gave up Jen Pusateri's name; right?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. That you had lied about by not mentioning her at all; correct?

Page 151

A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And you gave up Mr. Furlew's number; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And you gave up Phillip Mendez's number; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. All names that you never mentioned to them before?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Either on the 28th or on the day that you took them to the place where you told them about, at Edmondson Avenue and Franklintown Road; is that correct?
A. On the 15th? I don't believe I told them on the 15th. On the 28th?
Q. You didn't tell them about it on the 28th; right?
A. Right. Correct.
Q. And you didn't tell them about it on the day that you look them to see the place that you told them about on the 28th; right?
A. Correct.
Q. And that day occurred before the 15th; correct?

A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Is that correct?

this page provided by Rabia Chaudry in exchange for donations to the Adnan Syed Legal Trust

A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Okay. On the 15th of March, you still felt like you were being treated like a suspect; were you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Okay. Now, Best Buy came up on the 15th; did it not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. You were familiar with Best Buy; were you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. You knew where Best was -- Best Buy was long before the 28th of February; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And long before the 13th of January; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. It wasn't a surprise to you; is that correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And you are familiar and had discussed Best Buy with your friend Jen Pusateri before the 15th of March; had you not?
A. Yes.
Q. You had many conversations with Jen Pusateri before the 15th of March; had you not?
A. Yes. We spoke several times.
Q. Because you're such good friends; right?
A. Yes.

Q. But you had spoken to her about these events?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Before the 15th; did you not?
A. Of March? Yes, ma'am.
Q. You had spoken to her about it before the 28th of February; had you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And you had spoken to Jen Pusateri after you spoke to the police on the 28th; isn't that correct?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. After you were interrogated on the 28th, it is your testimony that you didn't speak to Jen Pusateri about what had occurred on the 28th?
A. No, ma'am, I did not.
Q. You were so concerned with protecting her; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. She was such a good friend to you; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. She was the one you turned to in trouble; is that not right?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And she was one with whom you shared weed, was she not?
A. Yes, ma'am.

Q. But you didn't speak to her about what had occurred to you on the early morning of the 28th of February?
A. No, ma'am. She was upset with me.
Q. She was upset with you from the 28th until after the 15th?
A. She was upset with me for a while. I don't recall how long.
Q. Sir, you recall that the police ultimately spoke to Jen Pusateri; do you not?
A. Yes.
Q. You forgot when that happened, did you not?
A. She came to my job afterwards, yes.
Q. You knew before it happened that it was going to happen, sir?
A. No, I did not.
Q. You spoke to Jen Pusateri before she spoke to the detectives; did you not?
A. Yes. I speak to her every day.
Q. So you spoke to her before her interrogation?
A. I speak to her every day, yes.
Q. So is the answer to my question, sir, yes, you spoke to your friend Jen Pusateri before she spoke to the police?
MR. URICK: Objection.

THE COURT: Sustained as to tone. You need not yell at the witness, Ms. Gutierrez.
MS. GUTIERREZ: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: When you phrase a question.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. Mr. Wilds, she was still your friend between the 28th and the 15th of March, was she not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Okay. And you spoke to her every day like you always spoke to her?
A. No, ma'am, I did not. She was upset with me.
Q. Okay. Because she was upset with you; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. So you didn't share with her what had occurred in the middle of the night when you were a suspect in a murder that would have carried the death penalty, did you?
MR. URICK: Objection.
THE COURT: Sustained as to the convoluted form of the question.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. Did you, sir, speak to any other good friend about what had occurred with you in the early morning hours of February 28th?
A. No, ma'am.

this page provided by Rabia Chaudry in exchange for donations to the Adnan Syed Legal Trust

Page 156

Q. You didn't confide in anybody?
A. Maybe Stephanie.
Q. Stephanie, your girlfriend.
A. Maybe.
Q. Other than that, you didn't seek the services of an attorney?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. You didn't consult with Ms. Benaroya?
A. Pardon me?
Q. You didn't consult with Ms. Benaroya?
A. No, ma'am, I did not.
Q. And you didn't speak -- or seek to speak with any other lawyer?
A. No, ma'am, I did not.
Q. And although you recall that at some point, she didn't speak to you, there came a time when your very good friend, Jen Pusateri spoke to the police?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Okay. And you knew about that before it happened; did you not?
A. No, ma'am. She was interviewed first.
Q. She what?
A. She was interviewed first.
Q. She was interviewed first?
A. Yes, ma'am.

Page 157

Q. Before you got to her?
A. No, ma'am. The police, they contacted her first, and then they contacted me.
Q. Well, sir, so it is your testimony that on that 28th, when you first spoke to them in the middle of the night, they knew about Jen Pusateri?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Her name was already out on the table; right?
A. I believe so.
Q. But you knew of the Jen Pus -- and that was because the cell phone --
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Was it not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. But you didn't tell them you knew her; did you?
A. Which occasion?
Q. On the first occasion, sir?
A. No, ma'am, I did not.
Q. No. And you didn't answer their questions? You directly lied to them about her; is that correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And you lied about her, you told us, to protect her?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Correct?

Page 158

A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Your good friend? You didn't want her to be accused of anything?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Isn't that correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And at no time between the 28th of February and the 15th of March did you correct that lie; did you?
A. No, ma'am, I did not.
Q. You clung to it; did you not?
A. You're speaking on the first and second time?
Q. Yes?
A. Between the first and second time?
Q. Yes. No. Right. Correct.
A. Between the first and second time.
Q. Between the first and second time, you made no effort to correct your lie; is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Even though you spoke to the police --
A. Correct.
Q. -- by telephone on occasions before the 15th; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Even though they had questions challenging what you had said; is that correct?

Page 159

A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. That's, in fact, how it came about that they asked you to take them to the place that you did say, Franklintown and Edmondson Avenue; correct?
A. I believe so.
Q. And although you, again, once Jen continued to speak to you, she did so; did she not?
A. After a period of time.
Q. Well, you said she stopped speaking to you for a while; right?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. You didn't stop speaking to her; did you?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. Okay. You stopped speaking to her because she stopped speaking to you?
A. Yes, ma'am. I felt as though she wanted to be left alone.
Q. And you left her alone?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. But there came a time when you resumed your friendship with your very good friend?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Is that correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And when you resumed it, you began to speak to

this page provided by Rabia Chaudry in exchange for donations to the Adnan Syed Legal Trust

her every day, the same way you had spoken to her every day before she stopped speaking to you; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. She stopped speaking to you over these events; is that correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. You knew, when you spoke to the police in the early morning hours of February 28th, that Jen Pusateri had told them a lie; did you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Because she hadn't identified you, had she?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. She hadn't given them any information that might connect her with you; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And that made you feel more comfortable in your lie; did it not?
A. No, ma'am, it did not.
Q. Well, you realized, when they started asking you questions, that they knew nothing about your relationship with Jen Pusateri; did you not?
A. That's an assumption.
Q. Now, Jen Pusateri had gone to Woodlawn; had she not?
A. Yes, ma'am.

Q. She had been in your class?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And she was over 18, was she not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. At the time, in January of 1999, she was attending college, was she not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. But in January, she was on her break from school, was she not?
A. I believe so.
Q. And in addition to speaking to her every day, you saw her very regularly; did you not?
A. In general, yes.
Q. Sometimes -- and before then; right?
A. Yes.
Q. Sometimes you'd see her every day in addition to speaking to her every day?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And you got high with her off weed regularly; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And she knew about your drug dealing; did she not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. That you procured and sold an illicit substance

to others?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And that that drug dealing included not just customers who were either then or former students at Woodlawn, but others as well?
MR. URICK: Objection.
THE COURT: Overruled.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. Yes. And she knew all about you; right?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And when, on the 28th, they asked you about her, it was clear they knew nothing about anything that might connect her to you?
A. I don't understand.
Q. Well, when they asked you about -- her name came up on the 28th; did it not?
A. The first occasion?
Q. Yeah?
A. I believe so.
Q. Well, you've already told us, Mr. Wilds, that you recall that they had the cell phone records; correct?
A. That wasn't until the 15th, wasn't it?
Q. No, sir.
A. That was the 28th?
Q. That's what you answered, sir. Do you now not

recall?
A. The police presented me --
MR. URICK: Objection.
THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. Mr. Wilds, you're asking me. Is that because you don't remember what you just told the ladies and gentlemen of the jury?
A. The second occasion that I spoke to the police, they confronted me with the cell phone record, and that's when I told them about Jen Pusateri.
Q. So you're telling them that you only told them on the second occasion about Jen because you were confronted with the cell phone records?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Are you aware that they had the cell phone records?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. Now, Mr. Wilds, on the 13th, you went to Mark Pusateri's house, --
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. -- not to see Mark, but to wait for Jen; right?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. No. You went there for the 15-year old to play video games; is that correct?

this page provided by Rabia Chaudry in exchange for donations to the Adnan Syed Legal Trust

A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And, but you waited for Jen to arrive; did you not?
A. She called and she said she was coming, yes.
Q. Okay. Well, and you waited for her; right?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. It's not unusual for you to go to her house and wait for her, was it?
A. No.
Q. Oh, okay. And notwithstanding the fact that you went there with the expressed purpose to play video games with a 15-year-old brother, once she called, you decided to wait until she came home; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. You had not seen her earlier that day; correct?
A. No.
Q. And you had not been to your mutual friend, mutual with her, Crysta Vinson's house already; correct?
A. Not as of yet, no.
Q. And you had not yet smoked your weed?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. That came later?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And was that a usual pattern for you, to smoke your weed later in the day as opposed to in the morning?

A. I don't have a pattern.
Q. Sir, you were aware prior to January 13th that your friend, Jen Pusateri, didn't really care about Hae Lee; were you not?
A. It never was discussed. I mean --
Q. Because Hae Lee wasn't close to either of you; right?
A. Correct.
Q. But you had had a conversation with Hae Lee -- with Jennifer Pusateri about Hae Lee at some point after the 28th; did you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And in that conversation, you described that Jen Pusateri didn't really care about Hae Lee?
A. Correct.
Q. And that Jen Pusateri didn't like Hae?
A. I wouldn't go as far to say that?
Q. She thought that Hae was one of those stuck-up girls, didn't she?
A. Yes.
Q. Those were words that she used to describe Hae Lee; is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. They clearly weren't friends?
A. Yes.

Q. And they clearly didn't have a lot of contact?
A. Correct.
Q. By choice?
A. They never saw each other.
Q. They never saw each other. They would not do so?
A. Right.
Q. Jen Pusateri was not a member of the Magnet Program, was she?
A. At one time, yes.
Q. But not in her last two years at Woodlawn?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. No. She didn't attend the same gifted and talented classes as Hae Lee did?
MR. URICK: Objection.
THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. She didn't attend the same classes with your girlfriend, did she?
MR. URICK: Objection.
THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. Your friend Jen Pusateri didn't really like your girlfriend Stephanie either, did she?
MR. URICK: Objection.

THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. Between the 28th of February, in the middle of the night, and the 15th of March, you had no conversation with Jen Pusateri about events which had occurred on January 13th?
A. She had stopped talking to me. She was upset with me.
Q. And she remained upset with you from the 28th of February, all the way through the 13th of -- I mean, all the through the 15th of March?
A. She remained upset with me for a very long time.
Q. So is the answer to my question, sir, yes?
A. I'm not sure of specific dates. I can't tell you.
Q. Well, sir --
THE COURT: Objection.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. -- would it be fair to say, would it not, that the 15th, when the police again hauled you down --
A. She was upset after that.
Q. -- to 601 -- please wait for my question --
A. I'm sorry.
Q. -- East Fayette, that that was a momentous

this page provided by Rabia Chaudry in exchange for donations to the Adnan Syed Legal Trust

Page 168

occasion?
MR. URICK: Objection.
THE COURT: Overruled.
THE WITNESS: Yes, it was.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. For you. Is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Just like being hauled down as a suspect on the 28th of February was a momentous occasion; is that correct?
MR. URICK: Objection.
THE COURT: Overruled.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. They're not occasions you would easily forget, are they?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. And Jen Pusateri was your very best friend, was she not?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. No. But she was your very good friend, was she not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And although these two momentous occasions occurred, and you recall that she was angry with you, you can't recall whether she spoke to you during that time

Page 169

period?
A. She did not.
Q. She did not? So now it is your recollection that you did not speak with her at all in that two-week plus one day time period; is that correct?
A. Correct. And a while after that.
Q. Now, sir, on the 15th, you told us that you still felt like a suspect?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And you were treated like a suspect; were you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And you had to sign the same suspect things, giving up your rights; right?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And you weren't coerced into do that; were you?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. And I would imagine, Mr. Wilds, that you continued to feel like a suspect on March 1st, the day after the middle-of-the-night interrogation?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And on March 2nd?
A. Yes.
Q. 3rd?
A. Yes, ma'am.

Page 170

Q. 4th?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. 5th?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. 6th?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. 7th?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. 8th?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. 9th?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. 10th?
A. Yes, ma'am.
MR. URICK: Objection.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. 11th?
THE COURT: Overruled. Let's get to the conclusion.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. The 11th?
A. Yes.
Q. The 12th?
A. Yes.
Q. The 13th?

Page 171

A. Yes.
Q. The 14th?
A. Yes.
Q. And the 15th, up until they came and hauled you away; right?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. It was not a good time for you, was it?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. You needed your friends, didn't you?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. But having lied about Jen Pusateri, and being aware that she was angry at you not talking to her, you also made no effort to talk to her?
A. I'm sorry. I'm not understanding your question.
Q. Sir, you said she didn't talk to you?
A. Correct.
Q. Because she was angry with you?
A. Yes.
Q. Correct?
A. She was -- I know she said --
Q. No, sir. I didn't ask you what you said. You told us --
MR. URICK: Objection.
THE COURT: Sustained. Sustained. You may

this page provided by Rabia Chaudry in exchange for donations to the Adnan Syed Legal Trust

Page 172

rephrase.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. Mr. Wilds, you told us that she was the one not speaking to you; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. It wasn't that you weren't speaking to her; right?
A. Correct.
Q. After all, on the 28th, you had done your very good friend a great favor, had you not?
MR. URICK: Objection.
THE COURT: Sustained as to form.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. You had not mentioned her name in any connection to the events of January 13th, about which you lied on the 28th to protect her; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Yes. Because she was your friend; right?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. So she should be grateful to you; right?
MR. URICK: Objection.
THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. You didn't go and tell her, "Jen, my very good friend, I could have mentioned you. But because I'm so

Page 173

concerned about you, my friend, I didn't even mention your name, so no police officer will do to you what they did to me, haul me down at 1:30 a.m. and treat me like a suspect to a murder"?
MR. URICK: Objection.
THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. You, of course, called her right up to tell her those things; did you not?
MR. URICK: Objection.
THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. And somehow, you found out that she was angry with you; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Her anger, however, came after the 13th?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And it occurred before the 28th?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. So you didn't conceal her identify in any way related to her being angry at you, did you?
A. Pardon me?
Q. You didn't conceal her identify and her presence and involvement on the 13th in any way because she was angry with you?

Page 174

A. No.
Q. She had stopped talking to you before that frightful moment when the police treated you like a suspect and hauled you down; right?
MR. URICK: Objection.
THE COURT: Overruled.
THE WITNESS: Before my first occasion, yes.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. Yes. And she already wasn't talking to you; right?
A. It was the day before she had been questioned, yes.
Q. And you, sir, had some anxiety about the events on the 13th, did they not -- did you not?
A. I don't understand. Anxiety?
Q. Well, on the 13th, sir, what you told us yesterday was that you participated in the burial of a girl; is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. A girl that you knew but were not friends with; is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And you told us that you knew that her death would occur before it happened; did you not?
A. No, I did not.

Page 175

Q. So, sir, it is your testimony you had no knowledge that her death was going to occur?
A. Prior to the 13th?
Q. Prior to the 13th?
A. No, ma'am, I did not.
Q. The first knowledge that you've admitted to knowing was the trunk pop; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Whether that trunk pop took place at a location you named, or it took place somewhere else entirely; right?
A. Correct.
Q. The first thing you knew about it was that; is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Now, on the 15th, once you were confronted with certain information, you corrected some of the many lies that you had told the police on the 28th; did you not?
A. Correct.
Q. You gave them other information; did you not?
A. Correct.
Q. You gave them different information; did you not?
A. Correct.
Q. You told them and admitted that you had lied to

this page provided by Rabia Chaudry in exchange for donations to the Adnan Syed Legal Trust

Page 176

them already in the middle of the night; right?
A. Yes.
Q. But that now, you were ready to come clean; is that right?
A. I believe so.
Q. And once confronted with the identification of those numbers that showed up on the phone bill, you fessed up to knowing those people; did you not?
A. Yes.
Q. And you fessed up to the phone being in your hand; did you not?
A. Yes.
Q. And you fessed up and said you had lied on the 28th?
A. Yes.
Q. Because you were scared?
A. Yes.
Q. And because you were confused?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. You didn't tell them that you were confused?
A. I told them I was trying to protect some people.
Q. Okay. Yesterday, you told Mr. Urick that you were confused; right?
A. Yes.

Page 177

Q. Okay. So yesterday, you were confused?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Now, Mr. Wilds, on the 15th, you were asked about things that the sequence of events, to explain it again; isn't that correct?
A. Pardon me?
Q. On the 15th?
A. Yes.
Q. You were hauled down again; right?
A. Yes.
Q. By Detectives MacGillivary and Ritz; right?
A. Yes.
Q. Whom you knew to be in charge of the murder investigation, into the murder of Hae Min Lee; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And on the 15th, that was at the end of a very long, painful and anxious two weeks for you; right?
A. Yes.
Q. During which you were deprived of the comfort from your very good friend; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Who was angry with you; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, Jen Pusateri, your -- by the way, who is your best friend?

Page 178

A. My girlfriend, Stephanie [redacted]
Q. Your girlfriend? And did you tell Stephanie of what had happened on the 28th?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And did you tell her that you had lied to the authorities?
A. I don't believe the conversation went that far.
Q. And did you tell her that you had lied about Jen Pusateri, your very good friend?
A. The details of my meeting were not discussed?
Q. You never got to the details with your girlfriend?
A. No, I did not.
Q. With your best friend?
A. Correct.
Q. And, of course, you never spoke to your other good friend, because she wasn't speaking to you?
MR. URICK: Objection.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. Now, on the 15th, the police asked you about Jen and confronted you --
THE COURT: Actually, why don't we break there for lunch, Ms. Gutierrez.
Ladies and gentlemen, we're going to take a briefer lunch than ordinary today. I'll ask you when you

Page 179

are released to go have lunch and return to the jury room by five minutes before 2:00.
Good afternoon.
Don't discuss the case among yourselves, ladies and gentlemen, nor with anyone else.
(A luncheon recess was taken at 12:42 o'clock, p.m.)

this page provided by Rabia Chaudry in exchange for donations to the Adnan Syed Legal Trust

AFTERNOON SESSION

(2:02 p.m.)

(The jury was not present upon reconvening.)

THE COURT: May we bring the jury down, counsel?

MR. URICK: Yes, Your Honor.

(Whereupon, the jury entered the courtroom, after which the following proceedings ensued:)

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, thank you for your promptness.

Mr. Wilds, you are reminded that you are still under oath.

Ms. Gutierrez, you have one half hour, thirty minutes.

MS. GUTIERREZ: Thank you, Your Honor.

CONTINUED CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MS. GUTIERREZ

Q. Mr. Wilds, we were speaking before lunch about the various times that you spoke to the detectives, do you recall that?

A. Yes.

Q. You've admitted speaking to them and having that interrogation taped on the 28th; correct?

A. Yes, ma'am.

Q. And that's the interrogation that you've

admitted you lied?

A. Yes, ma'am.

Q. About several things?

A. Yes, ma'am.

Q. More things than we've had time to discuss, right?

A. So far, yes.

MR. URICK: Objection.

THE COURT: Sustained. You've had enough time to discuss everything.

BY MS. GUTIERREZ:

Q. Well, more things than we have discussed; is that correct?

A. A. few, yes.

Q. And you've lied about a few things, right?

A. Yes.

Q. Those few things were numerous things that Detective Ritz referred to as too many inconsistencies to deal with on the 15th of March; is that correct?

A. Pardon me?

Q. Those things about which you've lied are what Detective Ritz discussed with you on the 15th of March as describing as too many inconsistencies to deal with, isn't that correct?

A. I do not recall the terms he used to describe

the inconsistency.

Q. All right, well, let's go back over it, Mr. Wilds. You lied about the fact that Jennifer Pusateri was in the events on the 13th; correct?

A. Correct.

MR. URICK: Objection.

THE COURT: Sustained.

BY MS. GUTIERREZ:

Q. You liked about the Mickey D's, the McDonald's; correct?

MR. URICK: Objection.

THE COURT: Overruled.

BY MS. GUTIERREZ:

A. You're correct.

Q. And you lied about the address of where the trunk pop took place, right?

MR. URICK: Objection.

THE COURT: Sustained.

BY MS. GUTIERREZ:

Q. Did you lie about that, sir?

THE COURT: Sustained.

BY MS. GUTIERREZ:

Q. You also told us, Mr. Wilds, that you talked to the police on other occasions; is that correct?

A. A. few, yes.

Q. Is that yes?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. And on those occasions you described them as occasions on which the detectives called you up?

A. Yes.

Q. Is that correct? Was any of those occasions occasions in which the detectives confronted you with other lies?

A. On the telephone, no.

Q. Do you recall, sir, that there was a third interview, was there not?

A. Yes.

Q. That interview took place on the 13th of April at seven o'clock in the morning, isn't that correct?

A. I believe so.

Q. The detectives came to your house at that early morning hours, did they not?

A. Yes.

Q. And they asked you and you consented to go back with them to the Homicide; is that correct?

A. Yes.

Q. And you gave another statement that day, isn't that correct?

A. Yes.

Q. And that was also taped; correct?

this page provided by Rabia Chaudry in exchange for donations to the Adnan Syed Legal Trust

A. I do not believe so.
Q. You don't believe so?
A. No.
Q. You were on the 13th of April no longer a suspect, right?
A. I recall being taped twice.
Q. No, sir, my question was on the 13th of April you were no longer a suspect, were you?
A. No, I was still considered a suspect.
Q. Well, sir, you were aware that the 13th of April is the very day that the Grand Jury indicted my client, your acquaintance, Adnan Syed, do you not?
A. No, I'm not aware of that.
Q. Okay. And you're aware that he had been arrested prior to the 13th and charged with the murder, isn't that correct?
A. At that time I was aware of that.
Q. Okay. And that he had been arrested and he has remained at the Baltimore City Detention Center?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. You are aware of that; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. You've never been in the Baltimore City Detention Center in relationship to this case, have you?
A. No, ma'am.

Q. Other than -- so it would be fair to say that on the 13th you were a much more relaxed guy; is that correct?
A. No.
Q. No. You were still an uptight guy --
A. Yes.
Q. -- about these events?
A. Yes.
Q. You were still concerned about being charged?
A. Yes.
Q. And you weren't charged, sir, in April, were you?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. And you weren't charged in May?
A. Hmm, I do not believe so.
Q. Well, you know whether you were or not, don't you?
A. Yes.
MR. URICK: Objection.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. That's not something you easily forget, is it?
A. Yes, I'm not aware of the specific date I was charged.
Q. But you're aware when you got charged, weren't you?

A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Now, sir, you identified and signed the plea agreement this morning; is that correct?
A. Pardon me?
Q. You identified the plea agreement this morning, isn't that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. That's when you got charged, wasn't it?
A. Prior to that.
Q. Right prior to that, isn't that correct?
A. I believe so, yes.
Q. You signed this plea agreement in the momentous month of September of this year, did you not?
MR. URICK: Objection.
THE COURT: To?
MR. URICK: It's been asked and answered several times.
THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. Mr. Wilds, that was your signature at the bottom, was it not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
MR. URICK: Objection.
THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:

Q. And that was the signature of your lawyer?
MR. URICK: Objection.
THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. Now, Mr. Wilds, we'll get back to the plea agreement. On the 13th when you gave another interview at the Homicide Unit, sir, that wasn't taped?
A. The 13th of which month?
Q. The 13th of April.
A. Oh.
Q. That wasn't taped, that interview that your recollection is was not taped. Were you again confronted about other inconsistencies about what you had said on either the 28th that was taped or on the 15th of March that was taped?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Okay. And you again attempted to explain to the detectives what all of these inconsistencies were; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And at that point they already knew who Jen was, right?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. On the 13th of April at the second interview you had attempted to clear up things that they had

this page provided by Rabia Chaudry in exchange for donations to the Adnan Syed Legal Trust

Page 188

questioned you about?
A. Correct.
Q. And that they questioned you about on tape in a manner that clearly accused you of lying to them at first; correct?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. On the 15th of March, sir, you admitted that you lied to them --
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. -- did you not? That was after they confronted you with having lied to them, was it not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. So that when you answered their questions, you knew that they knew that you had lied; is that correct?
MR. URICK: Objection.
THE WITNESS: Correct.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. But you hadn't admitted lying to them before then, had you?
A. Pardon me?
Q. You hadn't admitted lying to them before the 15th, had you?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. On the 28th of February after, and that conversation that began or began started to being taped

Page 189

at one-thirty in the morning lasted for a very long time, did it not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Yes. Hours and hours and hours; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And on the 15th when you were again brought down, that conversation, also taped, also lasted for hours and hours and hours, did it not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Now, sir, you said that your friend Jen Pusateri, your good friend Jen Pusateri whose name you kept out to protect her, remember that?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. That she was angry at you; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And wasn't speaking to you; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And her anger related to the events that occurred on the 13th?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Okay. And those -- the 13th of January; is that correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Okay. And those events had occurred about seven weeks before; is that correct?

Page 190

A. I believe so, yes.
Q. Now, sir, you were aware, were you not, that your good friend Jennifer Pusateri appeared at the Homicide Unit of this city's Homicide Unit on the 27th of January, were you not?
A. No, ma'am, I was not.
Q. You were aware that when she appeared at the Homicide Unit she appeared with a lawyer?
A. No, ma'am, I was not.
Q. Were you not? And she was already angry at you, right?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. On the 27th, right?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. She had been angry and not speaking to you for a period of time that almost equaled seven and a half weeks at that point, did it not?
MR. URICK: Objection.
THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. The time period in which she didn't speak to you started on the 13th; correct?
MR. URICK: Objection.
THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:

Page 191

Q. Mr. Wilds, when you were asked about what you had lied about on the 28th, Jennifer Pusateri was number one on the list, was she not?
MR. URICK: Objection.
THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. You would not be surprised now to know that she spoke with the detectives the day before that middle of the night taped interview, would you?
MR. URICK: Objection.
THE COURT: Overruled.
THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, could you --
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. You wouldn't be surprised to now be told that, would you?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. No. Because she's no longer mad at you, is she?
A. No.
Q. She still speaks to you, does she not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And you still consider her your best friend?
A. A. very good friend, yes.
Q. Now, the second time that you spoke to them, the time when they confronted you with your earlier lies

this page provided by Rabia Chaudry in exchange for donations to the Adnan Syed Legal Trust

Page 192

you told us you then told them the truth; correct?
A. The second time?
Q. The second time --
A. Not the complete truth.
Q. -- on the 15th of March; correct?
A. No, ma'am, I did not.
Q. You didn't tell them the whole truth?
A. No, ma'am, I did not.
Q. You again lied to them; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. At a time when they had it on tape; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. You lied the first time and you attempted to correct some of those lies, right?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. But you again lied about other things, isn't that correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And that's why they again attempted to confront you with those lies a month later on the 13th, which was a Tuesday, of April, isn't that correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. So you lied to them on the 28th; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And you lied to them on the 15th of March;

Page 193

correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And, of course, on the 13th of April you lied to them about other things, did you not?
A. No, ma'am, I did not.
Q. They hadn't caught up to all of the lies, particularly the new ones that you told them on the 15th, had they?
A. I have no knowledge of that, ma'am.
Q. Right, because they didn't -- they confronted you but they didn't tell you all of the things that you knew you had lied about?
MR. URICK: Objection.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. Correct?
THE COURT: Overruled.
THE WITNESS: No, ma'am, they did not.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. No, they didn't because they hadn't caught them all; correct?
A. Hmm, I'm not aware of that.
Q. You don't know whether they caught all of your lies or not?
A. Pardon me?
Q. You don't know whether they caught all of your

Page 194

lies or not?
A. I'm not aware. That's their job. I'm not --
Q. So it's their job to catch you up in your lies; correct?
A. That's their job to recite what I say, yes.
Q. It's easier for them to catch you in lies that they record, is it not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
MR. URICK: Objection.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. And it's easier for them to catch you up in lies when they have other witnesses who speak to them, is it not?
A. No, ma'am, it's not.
Q. It's not?
A. No, ma'am, it's not.
Q. That's your testimony today?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And, sir, when you spoke to them on the 13th what things did they ask you about?
A. Just specifics of where the cell phone was.
Q. The cell phone, the cell phone that you've said Adnan Syed gave to you on the 13th --
A. He did not give it to me.
Q. -- of January? He didn't give it to you. He

Page 195

just left it in the car, isn't that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. That's what you told the detectives the second time you lied to them, isn't that correct, on the 15th of March?
A. That was my statement, yes.
Q. That was your statement that he, your acquaintance, just left it in the car, isn't that correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. The fundamental differences between the first time you lied and the second time you lied, now may we assume Mr. Wilds that you lied the second time to correct the lies of the first time; correct?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. You intended them to believe you the second time, did you not?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. So you lied to them in such a way that you expected them to think you were telling the truth?
A. Could you rephrase that question? I don't understand that.
Q. When you lied to them the first time on the 28th of February, you intended them then to believe you, did you not?

this page provided by Rabia Chaudry in exchange for donations to the Adnan Syed Legal Trust

A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. You lied convincingly, did you not?
A. I don't know.
Q. Well, you wanted them to believe you, did you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. You intended for them to believe you; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And on the 15th of March when you were confronted about your lies earlier, you've told us you also lied again, isn't that correct?
A. I'm not understanding. I'm sorry.
Q. Sir, you just finished telling us that you lied to them at the second interview; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. You did that intentionally, did you not?
A. Yes.
Q. You chose to do so, did you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Nobody made you lie? You chose to lie, did you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And when you lied the second time, just like when you lied the first time, you intended for them to believe you, did you not?

A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Okay. That they should believe your lies; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And prior to telling them a second set of lies you tried to correct the first set of lies; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And one of the things you did was to describe that, oh, there was a new location for the trunk pop; correct?
A. The trunk pop?
Q. The trunk pop.
A. The disclosure of the body, yes.
Q. Well, sir, there was only one trunk pop, wasn't there?
MR. URICK: Objection.
THE COURT: Overruled.
THE WITNESS: Pardon me?
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. There was only one trunk pop, wasn't there?
A. The disclosure of the body, yes.
Q. There was only one trunk pop, was there not, Mr. Wilds?
MR. URICK: Objection.
THE COURT: Sustained, sustained.

BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. You understand the term trunk pop, do you not?
MR. URICK: Objection.
THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. Mr. Wilds, was there more than one occasion in which the trunk of Hae Min Lee's car was popped open and you viewed the body? Yes or no.
A. No, ma'am.
Q. So there was only one occasion, right?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Okay. And that occasion only took place at a single location, isn't that correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And the first time you told them it was at that Franklintown Edmondson Avenue address; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. The second time you told them it was alleged to have happened at the Best Buy; is that correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. A. location with which you are familiar, is that not correct?
A. Excuse me, yes, ma'am.
Q. Yes. And that location is directly off the intersection of Belmont Road which is the continuation of

Ambassador Road as it runs into Security Boulevard, is it not?
A. I'm not aware of the street name but --
Q. Well, sir, you're aware that right across Security Square Boulevard is Security Square Mall, isn't that correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And that right down the street is Westview Mall; correct?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. I'm sorry, Westview Mall is off of Route 40; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. You can get to Westview Mall by going over Rolling Road; correct?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. Right at the top of Security Square Mall. Are you aware of Rolling Road?
A. Yes, ma'am, I am.
Q. You know where Rolling Road is --
A. Yes.
Q. -- and Security Square Mall is, do you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And you know that Rolling Road intersects with Route 40, do you not?

this page provided by Rabia Chaudry in exchange for donations to the Adnan Syed Legal Trust

Page 200

A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. At the other end; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And that below Rolling Road and Route 40 is the beltway again, isn't that correct?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. The beltway crosses Security Square Mall, does it not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Below the Best Buy?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And the beltway crosses Route 40, does it not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Below the intersection of Rolling Road and Route 40?
A. Closer to the city, yes.
Q. Closer to the city. So below it?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Those are all locations with which you are intimately familiar, are you not?
A. Intimately I wouldn't say, but yes I'm familiar with them.
Q. You don't need a map to get around there, do you?
A. No.

Page 201

MR. URICK: Objection.
THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. You used to work right up the street above Rolling Road and Route 40, did you not?
MR. URICK: Objection.
THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. Sir, did you work at the Petsmart which is located directly above the intersection of Rolling Road and Route 40? Yes or no.
MR. URICK: Objection.
THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. Mr. Wilds, you described on the first time that you lied to the police riding around with Adnan Syed in his car, did you not?
A. Hmm -- at what point?
Q. Do you have to think about that, sir?
A. At what point?
Q. At any point?
A. Yes, I rode in the vehicle with him.
Q. And the description that you described riding around took you and him, according to that first statement in which you lied, all over the city, did it

Page 202

not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And it took you going on several different routes, did it not?
A. Excuse me, yes, ma'am.
Q. And it described your stopping at times, did it not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And leaving Hae's car at various locations?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Okay. And leaving it, meaning you and Adnan then rode around in his car; is that correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. At no point during that time did Mr. Syed consult with a map, did he?
A. No, not to my knowledge.
Q. And from the observations that you described in the statement in which you lied, he never consulted about a specific location, did he?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. No. He never consulted you or asked you where to go; correct?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. But it was clear from what you observed that he really didn't have an idea where he was going or where

Page 203

he wanted to end up, did he?
A. No, ma'am, he did not.
Q. You described, sir -- now, those two days are important days for you, are they not?
A. Which two days?
Q. January 12th and January 13th?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. One's your birthday, right?
A. It's just another day, but yes, ma'am.
Q. But it is your birthday?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. You don't forget it, do you?
A. No.
MR. URICK: Objection.
THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. And you described at least in one of the statements in which you lied celebrating that birthday, did you not?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Because that's something you do --
A. Yes.
Q. -- do you not? Even though it's just another day?
A. Sometimes I do, yes.

this page provided by Rabia Chaudry in exchange for donations to the Adnan Syed Legal Trust

Page 204

Q. The first time that you lied, sir, you described that all events occurred exclusively on the 13th; is that correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And the second statement in which you lied you described some of those events as occurring on the 12th on your birthday; correct?
A. Which events?
Q. Any of the events. The first time you said none of them occurred on the 12th, right?
A. Correct.
Q. The second time that you lied to the police, you described some of them as occurring on the 12th, did you not?
A. One, yes.
Q. One. And one of the things that's alleged to have occurred on the 12th is that you went shopping on the 12th, isn't that correct?
A. I do not recall.
Q. Sir, do you recall being asked by Detective MacGillivary who asked you to start, starting with the 12th and your answering, hmm, on the morning of the 12th, I had left out, went shopping with a friend of mine, an ex-friend of mine and we ah -- I just believe went to Wal-Mart. I picked up little things. It was my

Page 205

birthday. Hmm, he dropped me at my house and returned to school. Do you remember that?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And then you also then went on to discover that that was the day, the 12th, your birthday, not the 13th your girlfriend's birthday, but your birthday that Adnan, your acquaintance, on the 12th told you ahead of time that he was going to kill Hae Min Lee, do you remember that?
A. Pardon me?
Q. You didn't understand what I said?
A. I'm not understanding your question, no.
Q. Okay, sir, let see if I can simplify. You told them the first time you lied that all of these events occurred only on the 13th, your girlfriend's birthday, right?
A. Correct.
Q. A. day that you wouldn't forget, right?
A. Correct.
Q. And that the only significant thing that occurred on the 12th was a late night phone call from Adnan to set up that you would hook up in the morning; is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. But that no events relating to Hae Min Lee

Page 206

occurred on the 12th; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And you had no other conversation; correct?
A. I don't recall. We had other conversation but not pertaining to Hae Min Lee, no.
Q. Well, sir, you were asked by the police on the first occasion in which you lied to give up all information that concerned Adnan and Hae Min Lee, were you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And the first time you were asked you lied about some significant things, did you not?
MR. URICK: Objection.
THE COURT: Overruled.
THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. Yes. And, sir, the second time that you lied to them on the occasion in which they confronted you about your previous lies, you lied to them again; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Well, one of the second set of lies that you told them contained that you now said you went shopping with an ex-friend whom you later dropped off at school, did you not?

Page 207

A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Okay. And you don't distrust the recordings that were made of those conversations, did you?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. No. And so, sir, the second set of lies included a story that on the 12th, a day before your girlfriend's birthday, on your birthday, your acquaintance on the first time you had his car told you that he was going to kill his girlfriend; correct?
A. I recall so, yes.
Q. Yes. And that's not so hard to recall, is it?
MR. URICK: Objection.
THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. Mr. Wilds, that's a pretty significant difference, isn't it?
A. I would say so.
Q. Yes. That you had 24 hours notice ahead of time of an acquaintance of yours stated intention to kill a person you knew; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And you also in the second statement in which you lied to the police told them that on the 12th you didn't believe your acquaintance; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.

this page provided by Rabia Chaudry in exchange for donations to the Adnan Syed Legal Trust

Page 208

Q. And you told them again that the purpose of your having the car, sir, was to help Adnan; correct?
A. I do not recall.
Q. You don't recall what you told them. You don't recall whether you needed the car to help him?
A. I mean, I recall a conversation that evening.
Q. Well, sir, you recall your conversations with the police, do you not?
MR. URICK: Objection.
THE COURT: Overruled.
THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. Okay. Now, the next set of things in the second statement in which you lied, you said that on the 12th although you did not believe your acquaintance, you called up your good friend Jen Pusateri?
A. On which day?
Q. On the 12th?
A. On the 12th.
Q. The day that we're talking about?
A. Okay.
Q. Is that an okay yes, that's what I did, sir?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. No. Sir, do you recall that you told the police that you did that?

Page 209

A. No, ma'am.
Q. No. And it's kind of hard to keep track of when you lie so regularly to the police --
MR. URICK: Objection.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. -- is it not?
THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. Mr. Wilds, you did tell them that though, did you not?
A. Tell them?
Q. That you paged your friend Jen on the 12th?
A. On the 12th, yes.
Q. Yes. You did tell them that? That's not so hard to remember, is it?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. And that you told your good friend that your acquaintance had said he was going to kill his girlfriend?
A. I do not recall that conversation.
Q. You don't recall telling them that?
A. The police?
Q. Yes.
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Okay. But you just don't recall all of the

Page 210

details of what your lies were?
MR. URICK: Objection.
THE COURT: Overruled.
THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, I do not recall.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. You don't recall that particular detail?
A. I do not recall the conversation. I recall speaking to the police, yes.
Q. Well, sir, I didn't ask you if you recalled the conversation. I asked if you recalled telling the police that?
A. Yes, ma'am, I do.
Q. Okay. So you told the police that on the 12th, that on your birthday that you went shopping with Adnan; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And that you then later dropped him off at school; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And that you then later paged your good friend Jen; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And that you told your friend Jen twenty-four hours ahead of your best friend Stephanie's birthday that your acquaintance was going to kill his girlfriend,

Page 211

right?
A. I told the police.
Q. You did tell the police that, right?
A. Correct.
Q. That's not a surprise to you that I'm asking you this, is it?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. Because you did tell them that; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And you know that there was a tape recorder rolling?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And you know that there's a transcript made of what you told them, isn't that correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Because you've had an opportunity to review the transcripts of both occasions that were recorded in which you lied to the police, isn't that correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Yes. And you have in, fact, reviewed the transcripts of both occasions, isn't that correct?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. Mr. Wilds, you knew all of the lies that you

this page provided by Rabia Chaudry in exchange for donations to the Adnan Syed Legal Trust

told them on the first time; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. But they didn't, right?
A. That's speculation.
Q. Okay. And you wouldn't speculate on that, would you?
A. No.
MR. URICK: Objection.
THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. Mr. Wilds, you know the lies that you told them on the second time; correct?
MR. URICK: Objection.
THE COURT: Overruled.
THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. But they don't?
A. That's speculation.
Q. That's speculation. And on the third time it wasn't recorded, so it was much easier to lie, was it not?
MR. URICK: Objection.
THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. Now, sir, you described for them -- you

described for them once --
THE COURT: You have two minutes.
MS. GUTIERREZ: Thank you, Your Honor.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. That you were concerned about telling them about the Best Buy, remember that?
A. No, ma'am, I do not.
Q. Well, you were concerned about telling them about the Best Buy, were you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Edmondson and Franklintown have no special significance for you, did it?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. It was in Baltimore City; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. It was near some strips, places that deal drugs, right?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. It's a dangerous place, right?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And there were no visible cameras recording the events; correct?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. You had a subsequent conversation with Jen Pusateri your good friend about the cameras at Best Buy,

did you not?
A. I do not recall.
Q. You don't recall that you spoke to her about that?
A. No, I don't.
Q. You are aware, sir, that there were cameras at Best Buy, were you not?
A. I believe so, yes.
Q. Yes. And that's a location that's familiar to you even back then; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And that location you were not yet aware whether or not anybody had looked at those cameras, were you?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. There was nothing particular that you sought to protect about the location of Franklintown and Edmondson Avenue, was there?
A. I am not understanding you.
Q. Well, you didn't own it, did you?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. And based on what you were telling us nothing happened there, right?
A. Correct.
Q. And there weren't any cameras, right?

A. At that location?
Q. Yes.
A. Not to my knowledge, no.
Q. And you weren't concerned about protecting it in any way, were you?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. Okay. Now, you said you dropped Adnan off at his school; correct?
A. At which time?
Q. You dropped him off twice; correct?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. And the first time you spoke you dropped him off two times, did you not?
A. Once for practice and once after we shopped, yes.
Q. Okay. So two times?
A. Correct, I'm sorry.
Q. And you said that he said he needed to be seen; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Were you seen?
A. Yes.
Q. Yes. And can you name anyone you saw during that time?
A. I believe Will was out front when we went

this page provided by Rabia Chaudry in exchange for donations to the Adnan Syed Legal Trust

inside.
Q. Will. And what's Will's last name?
A. I don't know.
Q. Is he somebody that you know from the school?
A. He was one of Adnan's team mates.
Q. So team mates meaning on the track team?
A. Yes.
Q. So he would be easy enough to find, would he not?
A. He should be.
Q. Okay. And you saw him?
A. I saw him, yes.
Q. And he is a name, of course, since you were trying to correct one lie that you brought to the detective's attention the second time you're speaking to them, right?
A. I do not recall.
Q. His name doesn't appear anywhere in the transcript, does it?
A. I don't know. I haven't --
Q. Anyone else's name of whom you saw?
THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Gutierrez.
Any redirect from the State?
MR. URICK: Extremely briefly, Your Honor.
REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. URICK

Q. Mr. Wilds, the defense showed you and we've showed you this statement which is marked for as identification State's Exhibit 34?
A. Yes.
Q. The defense had you look at my 24 which has the telephone number 301 [redacted] and you identified that number. Whose number is that?
A. Phil Mendez.
Q. And that's the number that takes place sixteen minutes after the call to Ms. Denisha [redacted]
MS. GUTIERREZ: Objection.
THE COURT: Overruled.
BY MR. URICK:
Q. What was the name again?
A. Phil Mendez.
Q. M-e-n-d-e-z?
A. I believe.
Q. Now, I'm going to show you what's already in evidence as State's Exhibit 31 which is -- this is derived from -- this is the 13th. This has the 12th, 13th, and 14th on it. I'm going to direct you to focus you to phone calls that were made the 12th of January and ask you to look at line number ten?

A. Okay.
Q. Have you had a chance to look at that line?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you identify that number?
A. That is my telephone number.
Q. I'd ask you to mark that with this magic marker if you would, please?
A. (Witness complied with request.)
Q. And what time does it indicate that that call was made to your number?
A. 9:18 p.m.
Q. And would that be consistent with your recollection of the time that the defendant called you on the night of the 12th?
A. Yes, sir.
MR. URICK: I'd like to publish that to the jury, Your Honor.
THE COURT: What exhibit is this?
MR. URICK: This is exhibit 31.
THE COURT: Thank you.
MS. GUTIERREZ: May I see the exhibit, Mr. Urick?
THE COURT: Have you seen this before, Ms. Gutierrez?
MS. GUTIERREZ: No, Your Honor.

MR. URICK: She's seen it, both when we entered it into evidence and on a day when we provided discovery. I think they have a copy of the complete exhibit. I remember making them.
THE COURT: I didn't think it was a surprise.
MS. GUTIERREZ: It is a surprise. I have not seen this exhibit. What I'm looking at is now marked into evidence and I have not seen it.
THE COURT: It's not in evidence?
MR. URICK: That is the certified copy of the AT & T records that was entered to by stipulation.
THE COURT: On December 10. Yes, it was, on December 10th.
THE CLERK: I have it in evidence.
THE COURT: Ms. Gutierrez, it's not a surprise.
MS. GUTIERREZ: What I was looking at did not appear to be marked in evidence. I have not seen it.
THE COURT: It's in evidence by your agreement, Ms. Gutierrez.
MS. GUTIERREZ: Judge, I agreed to the admission of evidence upon --
THE COURT: Come up, counsel, come up.
(Counsel and the defendant approached the bench, and the following ensued:)
THE COURT: Ms. Gutierrez, if you are going to

this page provided by Rabia Chaudry in exchange for donations to the Adnan Syed Legal Trust

Page 220

stand there and lie to jury about something that you agreed would come in, --
MS. GUTIERREZ: Judge, --
THE COURT: I'm not going to permit you to do that.
MS. GUTIERREZ: -- the fact that I agreed --
THE COURT: That was a lie. You told a lie. I'm not going to permit you to do that.
MS. GUTIERREZ: That's not a lie, Judge, and I resent the implication.
THE COURT: It's a lie because it was by agreement.
MS. GUTIERREZ: By agreement doesn't mean that I have seen it, and so it is not a lie.
THE COURT: I assume --
MS. GUTIERREZ: And so I resent that implication.
THE COURT: I assume -- I assume that you didn't agree -- that you've seen what you agreed --
MS. GUTIERREZ: I agreed to the admission of cell phone records because I did not care.
THE COURT: (Inaudible.)
MS. GUTIERREZ: I had not looked at them. I had not seen it. It is not a lie.
THE COURT: Didn't you know what was in?

Page 221

MS. GUTIERREZ: Judge, I knew it was the cell phone records.
THE COURT: But you read them.
MS. GUTIERREZ: They didn't concern me on any other date.
THE COURT: You read them.
MS. GUTIERREZ: I had not, Judge. Other members of my team may have. I have not, and I resent your implications.
THE COURT: Please be quiet. Please be quiet.
MS. GUTIERREZ: It's very hard to be quiet when a court is accusing me of lying.
THE COURT: When your -- when your conduct lays a basis for it, then I will accuse you of it.
MS. GUTIERREZ: Judge, you are accusing me of lying based on assumptions that you have no busy of making.
THE COURT: (inaudible) basis of your conduct. Go back.
(Counsel and the defendant returned to the trial tables, and the following ensued:)
THE COURT: You may publish the exhibit.
BY MR. URICK:
Q. Mr. Wilds, --
A. Yes.

Page 222

Q. -- what, if anything, did the defendant tell you was significant about the parking lot at Best Buy?
A. He told me that's where he and Ms. Lee used have to sex.
Q. In the conversations I've had with you we've discussed the times that these events occurred, have we not?
A. Yes.
Q. Are you very good at recalling exactly what times things occurred?
MS. GUTIERREZ: Objection.
THE WITNESS: No, I'm not.
THE COURT: Overruled.
BY MR. URICK:
Q. Now, when the defendant was driving to Leakin Park, were you in the car with him to know how he navigated to get there?
A. No, I was not in the vehicle.
Q. Now, when Woodlawn students need someone to obtain marijuana, they need someone who can go into the city to purchase it, do they not?
A. Correct.
MS. GUTIERREZ: Objection.
THE COURT: Sustained as to form.
BY MR. URICK:

Page 223

Q. Now, why did you go along with what the defendant asked you to do that day?
MS. GUTIERREZ: Objection.
THE COURT: Sustained as to form.
BY MR. URICK:
Q. Why did you do what you did that day?
MS. GUTIERREZ: Objection.
THE COURT: Sustained as to form.
BY MR. URICK:
Q. If the defendant was only an acquaintance, why did you go along with what he asked you to do that day?
MS. GUTIERREZ: Objection.
THE COURT: Sustained as to form.
BY MR. URICK:
Q. What was the defendant's demeanor when he said I did it?
MS. GUTIERREZ: Objection. It's beyond the scope.
THE COURT: Overruled.
THE WITNESS: He had kind of a thousand-yard stare to him.
BY MR. URICK:
Q. What do you mean by that?
A. A. really blank look. Eyes big, round, no

this page provided by Rabia Chaudry in exchange for donations to the Adnan Syed Legal Trust

Page 224

Q. Now, in the discussions that you've had with me, have I asked you to do anything but be honest when you testify in this case?
MS. GUTIERREZ: Objection.
THE COURT: Overruled.
THE WITNESS: No, sir.
BY MR. URICK:
Q. And have you, in fact, been honest in your testimony today?
A. To the best of my ability.
MR. URICK: Nothing else. Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Any recross?
MS. GUTIERREZ: May I have the exhibit
MR. URICK: It's going to the jury right now.
MS. GUTIERREZ: If I could have it just for a minute and I'll return it to you.
RECROSS-EXAMINATION
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. Mr. Wilds, you were asked to identify a copy that appears at the very bottom of I think it's page three of this exhibit that is highlighted in yellow marker. That's your number, is it not?
A. Correct.
Q. And it indicates what time it was made, does

Page 225

it not?
A. Correct.
Q. And it indicates the duration of the phone call, does it not?
A. Correct.
Q. And the duration is ten seconds, is it not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Okay. You were also asked some questions that he said you're not very good at recalling exact times, sir?
A. Correct.
Q. You recall that? You're not very good at that, right?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. But you're very good at lying to the police, are you not?
MR. URICK: Objection.
THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. Mr. Wilds, you were also asked about you were not in the car at the time that Adnan Syed navigated through Leakin Park; is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Well, you were in Leakin Park, were you not?
A. At the time he was navigating?

Page 226

Q. Well, no, sir, at any time on the 13th of January?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Correct. You were in Leakin Park at a location that you identify as being twenty yards from the road; is that correct?
A. Twenty to fifty, yes.
Q. And when you were in the car you were inside your acquaintance's car; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And you went twenty yards, twenty yards, that's sixty feet, is it not?
A. Maybe a little bit more than that, fifty yards, but yes.
Q. But the twenty yards is what you corrected; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Those were your words, right?
A. Yes.
Q. So you're better at measuring distance than remembering time, sir?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. And, sir, you were in Leakin Park inside on the road that runs through it, were you not?
MR. URICK: Objection.

Page 227

THE COURT: Overruled.
THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. And you are now aware that the name of that road is Franklintown, are you not?
A. I am now, yes.
Q. The same road that you chose to designate for the place of occurrence of the trunk pop in the first statement in which you lied; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
MR. URICK: Objection.
THE COURT: Overruled.
Q. And that you described not only being on that road but twenty yards off the road to the site of the burial place; is that correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Okay. Now, the time frame that I was asking you about, whenever it occurred, you followed your acquaintance around all over the city, did you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And you were in a different car; correct?
A. Excuse me, yes, ma'am.
Q. You tell us that you say you were in his car, right?
A. Excuse me, yes, ma'am.

this page provided by Rabia Chaudry in exchange for donations to the Adnan Syed Legal Trust

Q. And he was in Hae Lee's car, right?
A. Correct.
Q. But you followed him all over the city; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And you followed him to Leakin Park, isn't that correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. A. place that it clearly appeared to you he didn't have in mind when you started; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. By what you could observe with your own eyes as to what took place?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Whatever time it was --
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. -- right? Over whatever time period; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Now, sir, you said that your acquaintance had what you called a thousand yard stare?
A. Yes, ma'aim.
Q. Is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Those are your words, are they not?
A. Yes, ma'am.

Q. Those are words you used in both statements in which you lied to the police, are they not?
A. I believe so.
Q. A. thousand yard stare, that's a much greater distance than the twenty yards that you've described to us, is it not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. A. lot longer in distance than the mere twenty yards; is that correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. But the twenty yards is a pretty substantial distance, is it not?
A. In what terms?
Q. Twenty yards is a substantial distance, isn't it?
A. I mean --
Q. It's the only terms I'm asking. That's a yes or no.
MR. URICK: Objection.
THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. Mr. Wilds, the thousand-yard stare that you described is a stare that you're saying your acquaintance had when he popped the trunk wherever it was, whenever it was, and showed you the remains of which you were later

able to describe the minute details of what she had on; is that correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Your demeanor, sir, was what?
A. Shock.
Q. Shock.
MS. GUTIERREZ: Nothing further.
THE COURT: Okay.
MR. URICK: I have something to follow-up, if I may, Your Honor.
THE COURT: You may step down, sir.
Thank you.
(The witness was excused.)
MR. URICK: Shall I get the next witness at this time?
THE COURT: Yes.
(Pause.)
Whereupon,
WILLIAM C. RODRIGUEZ,
a witness produced on call of the State, having first been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
DIRECT EXAMINATION
THE CLERK: State you name and assignment for the record?
THE WITNESS: Doctor William C. Rodriguez, III,

R-O-D-R-I-G-U-E-Z. I'm presently assigned at the Armed Forces Institute of Pathology in Washington, D.C. with the Office of the Armed Forces Medical Examiner where I serve as the Forensic Anthropologist and Chief Deputy Medical Examiner, First Special Investigations.
BY MR. URICK:
Q. Dr. Rodriguez, if you would, just very briefly, what sort of duties do you perform and where have you been performing them lately?
A. Basically, I oversee forensic anthropological analysis that primarily deals with bodies that are decomposed, fragmented, burnt, or primarily skelatilized. I do the cases for all the U.S. military world-wide, and also serve as the primary consultant for all branches of the federal government. And so my work takes me throughout the United States and around the world.
MR. URICK: Now, pursuant to stipulation, he's being accepted for his expertise and training as a forensic anthropologist?
MS. GUTIERREZ: That is correct.
THE COURT: Very good.
Ladies and Gentleman, the doctor is an expert in forensic anthropology and may testify as an expert.
BY MR. URICK:
Q. Just to try to clarify, if I can in more lay

this page provided by Rabia Chaudry in exchange for donations to the Adnan Syed Legal Trust

Page 232

terms, does that mean that a forensic anthropologist is responsible for digging bodies out of the ground?
A. That's true. In dealing with the recovery where the remains be buried, submerged, in any type of state arranging from fresh to skelatalized a forensic anthropologist has an unique expertise in locating and recovering human remains.
Q. Now, if I may approach the witness, I'm going to give you three exhibits that are in evidence, State's Exhibits 9, 10 and 11, give you a few seconds to look at them, if you will.
(Pause.)
BY MR. URICK:
Q. Have you had a chance to look at them?
A. Yes, I have.
Q. Are you familiar with the location and scenes depicted there?
A. Yes, I am.
Q. Now, drawing your attention to February 9th of 1999, did you have occasion to go to Leakin Park off Franklin Town Road in Baltimore City?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. How did you come to be there?
A. I was contacted by the Baltimore City Police through their main desk, -- I was home at the time -- and

Page 233

asked if I could provide assistance at the site in the recovery of some -- or human remains that had been discovered.
Q. Now, State's Exhibit 9 shows a road scene. Is that the site you went to?
A. That is.
Q. And, now, State's Exhibit 2, do you recognize that?
A. I do.
Q. What is that?
A. This is the actual site where the deceased's remains were located.
Q. And were you the one to examine the scene for purposes of disinternment of the body?
A. That is correct, myself along with ny assistant, U.S. Air Forcer Master Sergeant Grant Graham.
Q. Would you please tell the Ladies and the Gentleman of the jury what you observed as you first came on the scene and what you did as a result?
A. Basically as we came on to the scene, we entered within the barrier tape region that had been set up by the Police Department.
Prior to actually going into and getting near the remains we actually set up a secondary barrier to ensure that we didn't disturb any type of evidence and

Page 234

work our way in very slowly to look for any type of trace evidence that may be associated on the surface of the ground before we actually got to the body.
We proceeded first to photograph the area. And then we did a -- a visual search from outside the perimeter using standard lights. And then we switched to using alternate and UV light sources. These are basically light sources that emit light at various wave lengths. And due to the certain nature of various objects, such as hairs and fibers and various type of evidence associated with clothing, under these various types of lights that are emitting light at a particular frequency, they -- they glow and give off a particular fluorescence.
And so we basically searched the whole area first using the UV and alternate light source to see if there was any fibers or any specific evidence in the area leading up to the body prior to examining the body.
After we had searched thoroughly using the alternate light source to search for any type of evidence, we then slowly moved in to begin examining the remains visually.
Q. And as you began to look at the body, what, if anything, did you observe?
A. We observed initially that the body was placed

Page 235

in a position near a very large log or tree that had been downed. It was in very close proximity to this and that the body was partially covered with dirt. It was very shallow. However, there were three components of the body that were partially exposed; that being some portions of the hair, a portion of the hip, and foot and knee area.
And in examining those, it was obvious that these had been exposed as a result of post-mortem animal activity; that is, animals coming to feed or that are attracted to the remains, and through their activity, they basically had teased out the hair from underneath the ground and also had uncovered dirt and removed it from areas that covered portions of the body.
And in doing so we saw even evidence of small scratch marks on -- that were basically on the hosiery of the deceased and also scratch marks that actually mud prints of small animals that had basically uncovered these portions of the body.
Q. Is there anything in those photographs that are -- is good enough to -- to -- that could be used to demonstrate what you're talking about there?
A. Certainly. You can see --
MR. URICK: Could the witness get up and stand in front of the jury, Your Honor?

this page provided by Rabia Chaudry in exchange for donations to the Adnan Syed Legal Trust

Page 236

THE COURT: Yes.
BY MR. URICK:
Q. If you would, narrate it and start at that end and show everyone, if you would? I can take the other two at this time, if it's easier just to hold one.
A. What we have here is the site in which you're looking at the body was found on the -- on the side of the log. You can see it's a fairly large --
THE COURT: Louder, please, Doctor.
THE WITNESS: Fairly large log, which certainly aided in concealing the body. If one was walking toward it, one would not notice the body itself unless one actually crossed over on the log. You can see here just some remnants of the body actually sticking out. Here is the head portion.
You can see this dark, black material which is hair. We have a leaf and this portion here that is partially exposed. Here in this picture here you see a blowup and you can see again this dark, black material which is the hair which has been exposed as a result of the digging of animals; here the area of knee. Here we have a pelvic.
And you can see this a little bit better here where you have some tearing of the hosiery as a result of the post-mortem animal -- animal activity which had

Page 237

basically unearthed this material, which led to this discovery.
BY MR. URICK:
Q. Could you come to this end of the jury and just briefly recapitulate the things you were pointing out to that end?
A. Here we have --
THE COURT: The alternates can stand if you would like.
THE WITNESS: -- the log where the deceased was found against -- right up against the log. Here you can see a portion of the body. Here we have the head. You can see some of the dark hair, some clothing remnants.
Looking over here we have some of the pelvic area and area of knee and foot that were exposed. You can see this up close to get a better idea (inaudible). Large part here head here and has been exposed as a result of an animals teasing it out through the dirt.
Here the hip, the knee area you can see what is actually a portion of the foot which was exposed underneath the stocking. We can see the knee a little bit better. And you see this very light coloration here, this is the -- again the animals were tearing the hose and actually attempting to uncover the body.
BY MR. URICK:

Page 238

Q. I'll take that. This one now. Were you the one who disinterred -- well, I'll wait to you get back on the stand.
(Pause.)
BY MR. URICK:
Q. Were you the one who disinterred the body?
A. Yes, sir, with the help of my assistant.
Q. And how did you do that?
A. Basically after we cleared the area for trace evidence, we begin to do an examination with the alternate light source, the UV light source on top of the body as we begin very carefully removing the soil. And it's very important that you remove the soil carefully so that you do not miss any type of evidence and cause any type of artifacts -- or damage to the body as you're recovering it.
This was done primarily by hand using straw whisk brooms, paint brushes, and small cement-type trowels or excavation-type of tools in which the dirt was very carefully scraped around the actual contour of the body.
And then the dirt that was actually on the body itself was basically removed by using the whisk brooms and brushes to very carefully sweep this material away until we could get down to the actual body.

Page 239

As we begin to get down to the body we conducted an examination using the alternate light source and UV light sources to see if we found any type of evidence that may tell us something about this particular victim.
And in doing so, we did locate a foreign fiber that was a bright orange fluorescent color. It glowed very bright orange under the alternate light source. And this fiber was determined to be foreign as no other fibers within the clothing on the victim gave any other type of fluorescence.
As we begin to carefully move down and carefully trowel around the body and brush and move the body into a flip position, we found a second foreign fiber that basically was located underneath the body. It glowed a bright blue in color when exposed to the light, which was also found to be foreign to the victim as none of the clothing gave a similar type of fluorescence.
These were collected, handed over to the Baltimore Police into evidence at that time.
THE COURT: If you could pull the microphone down and talk directly into it.
THE WITNESS: After we had carefully completed the excavation and cleaning of the body, we basically

this page provided by Rabia Chaudry in exchange for donations to the Adnan Syed Legal Trust

body over so that the body was lying on -- on the back so that we could get a good look at the victim.

And it was at this time we did some final cleanup with small brushes such to remove the dirt and debris from the deceased.

BY MR. URICK:

Q. What, if any, factors affect decomposition of a body in a ground?

A. There are many factors that effect decomposition of a body. Basically decomposition above ground occurs at a fairly rapid pace compared to bodies that are buried or submerged in water. There are a number of biological aspects to why bodies decompose much slower in water and beneath earth which has to do with basically cooler temperatures, which is a primary product which delays the process of decomposition.

When you have cool temperatures, moisture, the body basically cools off and the bacterial decomposition of the body does not proceed very fast, whereas if a body is in a very warm climate, exposed above the ground, the body will decompose fairly rapidly in a state.

Also when a body is buried or is covered, it -- there is an inhibition of insect activities. Insects that typically feed on decomposing bodies, such as flies and various types of beetles, because of either

environmental conditions being cool or the body being covered, many of these insects cannot get to the body to feed and therefore because of the cool temperatures, the moisture particularly in a loamy-type forest soil that we had here, and being in the winter, basically had very cool temperatures that would perpetuate a slow decompositional process.

Q. Based on you expertise and training, were you able to form any opinions as to how long that body been in the ground?

A. Yes. At the time I told the detectives that based on the state of the body, looking at the environment, the climatic condition there for the scene, and the extensive fungus growth, -- we had a very heavy growth of white fungus beneath the body -- that under these climatic conditions there at the scene that I would estimate that the body could have been there anytime between three to four weeks.

Q. Would the state of the body as you saw it be consistent with a time of death and burial of January 13th of 1999?

A. Certainly.

MR. URICK: No further questions.

THE COURT: Cross?

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MS. GUTIERREZ:

Q. Yes, Dr. Rodriguez, you were brought to that specific location precisely because of your expertise i regard to the decomposition of bodies, were you not?

A. That's correct.

Q. And you answered the call for your assistance by coming out to Baltimore; right?

A. That's correct.

Q. And you brought your equipment and your assistant; correct?

A. That's correct.

Q. And you had not been to that location prior to that day had you?

A. No, ma'am.

Q. You were not aware that the name of that park is Leakin?

A. I'm aware.

Q. You are now. But prior to then --

A. No. I -- I'd been out to that park before for recoveries.

Q. Okay. For recoveries. So you're aware that that is a popular place for the disposal of bodies that had been murdered?

A. That's correct.

Q. In Baltimore; is that correct?

A. That's correct.

Q. Now you came to that location having been there before. You were aware that exactly where it is in the middle of a park; is that correct?

A. That's correct.

Q. And that to get to the site that you've identified in the pictures and, if I may, a picture that you described shows what you told us is a tree, is it not?

Not one of these but --

THE COURT: Let's see the other evidence items.

BY MS. GUTIERREZ:

Q. It's a tree; is that correct?

A. That's correct.

Q. And that appears in that top right photo on State's Exhibit 10; is that correct?

A. That's correct.

Q. That tree is dead, is it not?

A. That's correct.

Q. And that tree would run parallel to almost the same direction that the road on which you came in rur would it not?

A. That's correct.

Q. Beyond the tree is a stream is it not?

A. That's correct.

this page provided by Rabia Chaudry in exchange for donations to the Adnan Syed Legal Trust

Page 244

Q. And if you walk to that place that you did that day 11 months ago, you can see that the bank of the stream has overrun, can you not?
A. It's pretty high. I didn't see evidence that it -- it had overrun. That was pretty -- I mean, you -- you could -- you'd have to jump down.
Q. To get to the stream; correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. All right. And, sir, to get to the stream you'd have to pass by where this tree is; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And the body that you described for us that you carefully disinterred, attempting to preserve as much evidence as possible, is on the far side of that tree, is it not?
A. That's correct.
Q. Meaning the furtherest away from the road; is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Now, there was not a path that you clamored through to get from the middle of the road, where you left your car, to where the body is, is there?
A. No, ma'am. The only path was basically what had been made by the officers, and it was fairly hard to get through to --

Page 245

Q. It was. It's fairly hard to walk, was it not?
A. There was a lot of --
Q. Lot of debris?
A. Briar material.
Q. And there's a lot of undergrowth, and when you call briar you mean sticky things on it; correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. It's a hard terrain to get through to the place where the body was; isn't that correct?
A. Certainly just, you know, for a general walk.
Q. It's not a place where one would go for a general walk, is it?
A. It depends if you -- you enjoy walking through the woods.
Q. Through -- and through the briars; correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And through the terrain that was difficult to traverse; is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And the body was sort of slightly nestled on the far side of that tree, was it not?
A. That's correct.
Q. And that tree is a good 50 feet long, is it not?
A. I don't recall an exact measurements, but it's

Page 246

-- it's pretty long.
Q. I know you're not an expert in trees, but that was a dead tree, wasn't it, not a live tree?
A. No, it was a dead tree.
Q. It was clearly dead and lying there for awhile; isn't that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And the only way to get to where the body was is that you literally had to traverse over the tree; correct?
A. That or go around it.
Q. Right. And -- but to go around it meant a good nother hike in difficult terrain, did it not?
A. Not necessarily, no, ma'am.
Q. If you approached that site from the road, could you see the body before you actually got to the tree?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. The tree shielded the view of the body from the road all the way up to the tree?
A. That is correct.
Q. The site where you carefully disinterred this body on the day that you were there would not have been visible from the road where you parked your car?
A. No, ma'am.

Page 247

Q. After you disinterred this body and you made your notations, sir, were you asked to produce a report?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. And, sir, were you asked to conduct any tests on the body?
A. No.
Q. Or on any of the material that you carefully collected?
A. No.
Q. There were police detectives were there, were there not?
A. That's correct.
Q. And there were other police officers?
A. That's correct.
Q. And crime lab technicians?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And others from the officer of -- the Office of the Medical Examiner here in Baltimore; is that correct?
A. There was a, I believe, an assistant.
Q. And assistant to collect the body; right?
A. That's correct.
Q. And when you described the -- what you've described to us, you attempted as carefully as you could to preserve as much evidence as you could see both with your naked eye; right?

this page provided by Rabia Chaudry in exchange for donations to the Adnan Syed Legal Trust

A. That's correct.
Q. And with the assistance of these lights; correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And you attempted to be as careful to make sure that you preserve anything that might lend a clue to what had happened to this decomposed body; is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And you that you didn't have to be asked specifically to do that, that was your job, wasn't it?
A. That's correct.
Q. You knew that's why you came to bring your expertise there, did you not?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. The only two fibers that were located were the two that you described for us; correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And in addition to that you were --
MS. GUTIERREZ: I'm sorry, Madam Clerk but I think that juror wants something.
THE CLERK: I'm sorry,
MS. GUTIERREZ: The jurors want something.
THE COURT: Okay. We --
MS. GUTIERREZ: I just have another question, Judge, and then I'll be -- I'll be finished.

THE COURT: Can you hold on --
BY MS. GUTIERREZ:
Q. Other than the two fibers that you described for us, you didn't recover anything else, did you?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. Were you aware that hair was recovered that was not hair that belonged to that decomposing body?
A. I was unaware of that.
Q. Were you ever asked to produce the temperature chart for the temperature of the months of January and/or February?
A. No, ma'am.
MS. GUTIERREZ: Nothing further. Thank you.
MR. URICK: Just one question.
REDIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. URICK:
Q. You were asked several questions about the site of the body, I'm going to ask you to look at this group of photographs again, and just the upper right hand one, a straight view between the two cars, and ask if you can see any figures in the woods?
A. Yes. You can see, it looks to be a number of individuals.
Q. And was that the site where the body was?
A. Yes.

Q. And are the figures clearly visible from the road where that picture is taken?
A. Yes. You can see the individuals.
MR. URICK: I'd ask that this be published to the jury after the break.
THE COURT: After the break.
MR. URICK: Yes. And I'm through with this witness.
THE COURT: Any re-cross?
MS. GUTIERREZ: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Very good. Doctor, you may be excused. Thank you.
(The witness was excused.)
THE COURT: Members of the jury, we're going to take our afternoon break now. And we will call for you at 3:35. Do not discuss the case with anyone.
(Pause.)
THE COURT: Counsel, please be in place at 3:35.
(Brief recess.)
(The jury was not present upon reconvening.)
MS. GUTIERREZ: Judge, prior to calling down the jury I would like to make a record about the two separate things?
THE COURT: Yes, please come up.

(Counsel and the defendant approached the bench, and the following ensued:)
MS. GUTIERREZ: The only guide to the limitation on Jay Wilds, I personally didn't know --
MR. URICK: The limitation is --
MS. GUTIERREZ: -- as to the cross-examination of Jay Wilds. I personally observed that we started that cross-examination at five minutes after 2:00 and that we -- you stopped my cross-examination, based on your previous order of an half an hour at 2:26.
THE COURT: Actually at 2:44.
MS. GUTIERREZ: Okay. Well, I noted 2:26. And in any event, although I objected to it this morning --
THE COURT: Like 2:36.
MS. GUTIERREZ: I'm clear -- I'm unclear as to whether or not the record adequately reflects that we object to it. I had at least another half hour if not 45 minutes to an hour of cross-examination on Jay Wilds. I would not have stopped it except for the order.
THE COURT: You had planned a four-hour cross rather than a three-hour cross?
MS. GUTIERREZ: Judge, I don't plan crosses by times. I plan crosses by what it is I need to cover with the witness. There are 126 typed pages of what appears to be a transcript of two separate interviews.

this page provided by Rabia Chaudry in exchange for donations to the Adnan Syed Legal Trust

There are at least four interviews that this witness admitted to. There was at least 45 minutes more --

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. GUTIERREZ: -- cross-examination that I would have covered, and I object to the Court's, what I believe, arbitrariness in cutting off my cross-examination of the main witness against Mr. Syed in this trial.

THE COURT: Are you asking for some form of relief?

MS. GUTIERREZ: Well, Judge, I would like to certainly continue the cross-examination.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. GUTIERREZ: There's much other cross-examination I could continue with Jay Wilds.

THE COURT: Is there another thing you want to approach about?

MS. GUTIERREZ: Yes, Your Honor. Over the break I spoke to Professor Douglas Culvert who was sitting in the first row, he's no longer present. He is a member of the Maryland Bar.

THE COURT: Uh-huh.

MS. GUTIERREZ: To Chris Floor who is the director of the bail project who is a member of the bar

and my law clerk, Michael Lewis, who's a third-year student at the University of Maryland.

THE COURT: Uh-huh.

MS. GUTIERREZ: And they informed me that, notwithstanding what I perceive to be the white noise that the Court put on that I could audibly hear from up here that they -- that all three of them indicated that they could hear, for the most part, the bulk of what I said, although some of it was muffled since my back was to them.

THE COURT: Uh-huh.

MS. GUTIERREZ: They could clearly hear everything the Court was saying including the Courts calling me, on more than one occasion, a liar, well over the white noise.

Since they were sitting in the first -- into the front row I'd suggest that they are actually further if not much further, but a little bit further away from this position in front of Your Honor than the jury.

And I believe that if they could hear it -- and I asked several other members of the audience, they also heard the word, the distinct word, "liar," and that you were using that word to refer to me, it appears to me that unmistakably, the jury had to hear Your Honor call me a liar.

THE COURT: Uh-huh.

MS. GUTIERREZ: And is likely to have heard the bulk of the exchange between the Court and I. I believe it was impermissible for the Court to call me a liar. I certainly responded with a great deal of passion since to be called a liar by the Court about something so trivial in this trial as to whether or not I had seen a specific line in a specific exhibit to which I had stipulated to the admission of, given that the credibility of the defense lawyer is at the core of a defense theory, I would ask; number one, for a mistrial based on that, given this Court's direct re-attacking the credibility of the Adnan Syed's lawyer at a critical juncture of this case.

THE COURT: Okay.

State?

MR. URICK: The record is adequately made. The State would oppose both forms of relief that are being requested at this point.

THE COURT: Okay. The motion for reopening -- anything else?

MS. GUTIERREZ: No, Your Honor, not till you finish.

THE COURT: The motion to reopen the cross-examination is denied. However, I do have a note from

Alternate Number 4, "In view of that fact that you've determined that Ms. Gutierrez is a liar, will she be removed? Will we start over?"

Your motion for mistrial is granted.

MS. GUTIERREZ: Thank you.

(Counsel and the defendant returned to the trial tables, and the following ensued:)

(Pause.)

THE COURT: Officer, would you return Mr. Syed.

Counsel, if you'll talk to the Administrative Judge about a new date.

MS. GUTIERREZ: Should we go there now, Judge, or wait till tomorrow.

THE COURT: Probably tomorrow would be adequate.

MS. GUTIERREZ: Should we call over there or should I --

THE COURT: I'll give him a call as well.

MS. GUTIERREZ: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.

MR. URICK: When is this Court available?

THE COURT: Huh?

MR. URICK: When is this Court available?

THE COURT: In light of the circumstances, I'm not available for retrial of this matter.

Good afternoon, counsel.

this page provided by Rabia Chaudry in exchange for donations to the Adnan Syed Legal Trust

(The trial was concluded at 3:42 p.m.)

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, Charles F. Madden, an Official Court Reporter of the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, do hereby certify that the proceedings in the matter of State of Maryland versus Adnan Syed, Indictment Nos. 199103042-46, in the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, on December 15, 1999, before the Honorable William D. Quarles, Associate Judge and a jury were recorded by videotape.

I further certify that the page numbers 1 through 256 constitute the official transcript of the proceedings as transcribed by me from said videotape to the within typewritten matter to the best of my ability.

In Witness Whereof, I have affixed my signature this 12th day of January, 2001.

Charles F. Madden
Official Court Reporter

this page provided by Rabia Chaudry in exchange for donations to the Adnan Syed Legal Trust